

WITNESS CALLED AND DULY SWORN ON 04.04.2026.**CROSS EXAMINATION BY SRI.B.G.A., ADVOCATE FOR THE DEFENDANTS:-**

1. I am the partner of plaintiff partnership firm. We have two partners in the plaintiff. Another partner has authorized me to represent in the case. The work was held in Andhrapradesh, Chandragiri, which is situated near Tirupati. We do not have any transactions in Bengaluru. Official works have been held in Bengaluru office only.

2. We do not received any documents to show that, Defendant is a private limited company. I have legal knowledge how the private limited company operates and functions. It is true to suggest that, the companies are to be registered under Companies Act. It is true to suggest that, the companies should have memorandum of association and articles of association. I do not have copies of the Defendant companies of memorandum of association and articles of association.

3. I have not mentioned the name of the representative of Defendant No.1 to represent the present suit. We do not have any documentary evidence to show that, Defendant No.2 to 4 are the Directors of Defendant No.1 company.

4. We do not have passed resolution to conduct this case in our partnership firm and I have not produced any resolution or authorization in the name of partnership firm. I am unable to say who has signed Ex.P.2 on behalf of Defendant No.1. In Ex.P.2

column mentioned as 'Accept the work order' column no signature found. It is false to suggest that, the Defendant No.1 has not placed any work order and plaintiff has not legally accepted the said order.

5. There is no signatures of Defendants found in Ex.P.3. It is false to suggest that, I have not forwarded any invoice to the plaintiff as per Ex.P.3. I have not produced any documentary evidence to show that, I have forwarded Ex.P.3 to Defendants. There is no signatures of Plaintiff or Defendant in Ex.P.4.

6. It is true to suggest that, the Defendants have made payment through accounts. I do not have any impediment to produce statement of account of my bank. It is false to suggest that, the Defendants are not liable to any amount as claimed by me.

7. I have gone through the Written statement filed by the Defendants. It is false to suggest that, the Defendants are paid excess amount of Rs.15,37,185/- to the plaintiff and Plaintiff is liable to return the said amount to the Defendants. It is false to suggest that, I have filed a false suit against Defendant for wrongful gain.

RE-EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in open court.)

R.O.I & A.C.,

sd/-

(VIDYADHAR SHIRAHATTI)

LXXXII ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE,
BENGALURU.