

KABC170016202024



**IN THE COURT OF LXXXVI ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS  
JUDGE, COMMERCIAL COURT, BENGALURU (CCH-87)**

**Dated on this the 22<sup>nd</sup> of August, 2025**

**Present: Sri. Jithendranath C.S., B.A., LL.M.,**  
LXXXVI Addl. City Civil & Sessions Judge,  
Bengaluru.

**COM.O.S.No.845/2024**

**BETWEEN:**

**PLAINTIFF : BTS Roller Flour Mills Pvt. Ltd.,**  
*(By Sri.M.Ravi, Advocate)*

**-Vs -**

**DEFENDANT : India Food Corporation,**  
*(By Smt.Divya Sridhar, advocate)*

**In I.A.No.I**

**under Order XI Rule 14 read with Section 151 of CPC**

**Between:**

**Applicant : India Food Corporation,**  
*(By Smt.Divya Sridhar, advocate)*

**AND**

**OPPONENT : BTS Roller Flour Mills Pvt. Ltd.,**  
*(By Sri.M.Ravi, Advocate)*

**Order on I.A.No.I**

The plaintiff allegedly supplied Atta & Maida flour to the defendant on different occasions and raised as many as thirteen invoices for a total sum of Rs.7,26,825/-. Out of which Rs.6,21,875/- is still remained unpaid by the defendant. The plaintiff averred that the defendant had sent fictitious screen shots (IMPS) of the following payments to the plaintiff company but in fact no such amount was credited to the beneficiary account. The particulars those screen shots are as follows:

<b>Sl.No.</b>	<b>Date of Payment</b>	<b>Amount</b>
(a)	22.11.2023	72,000.00
(b)	23.11.2023	28,000.00
(c)	30.11.2023	70,000.00
(d)	03.12.2023	40,000.00
(e)	05.12.2023	56,000.00
(f)	08.12.2023	43,000.00
(g)	09.12.2023	65,000.00
<b>Total</b>		<b>3,74,000.00</b>

**2.** The defendant in his written statement at paragraph No.6 averred that no money has been transferred by the defendant to the plaintiff and there is no question of fictitious screen shots (IMPS) as claimed by the plaintiff being transferred by the defendant. On the basis of the pleadings, this court has framed the issues. The plaintiff examined its

**Com.O.S.No.845/2024**

witness as PW.1 and he was fully cross-examined. When the matter was posted for defendant's evidence, the defendant filed IA No.1 under Order XI Rule 14 of CPC seeking permission to produce the particulars of online transactions made by him to the plaintiff. They are as follows:

<b>Date</b>	<b>Amount in Rs.</b>
20.11.2022	50000/-
20.12.2022	44000/-
21.01.2023	44000/-
20.02.2023	44000/-
30.03.2023	44000/-
03.05.2023	44000/-
24.06.2023	44250/-
19.07.2023	50000/-
24.08.2023	50000/-
<b>Total</b>	<b>414250/-</b>

**3.** The particulars of the documents produced by the defendant are different from the so called fictitious documents narrated in the plaint. The plaintiff has raised invoices commencing from 26.10.2023 to 10.12.2023 but the payments made by the defendant are from 20.11.2022 to 24.08.2023. *Prima-facie* the payments allegedly made by the defendant is not pertaining to the invoices allegedly raised by the plaintiff. The defendant in his affidavit annexed to IA.No.1 has not disclosed how the proposed documents are relevant to prove his case. The defendant has not offered any

**Com.O.S.No.845/2024**

explanation with respect to the belated production. For the forgoing reasons, the court proceed to pass the following :-

**ORDER**

IA.No.I filed under Order XI Rule 14 read with Section 151 of CPC, is hereby rejected.

[Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by her, corrected and signed by me then pronounced in the Open Court, dated this the **22<sup>nd</sup> day of August, 2025**]

**(JITHENDRANATH C.S.)  
LXXXVI Addl. City Civil & Sessions Judge,  
(Commercial Court), Bengaluru.**