

Com.O.S.845/2024

PW.1

Nataraju N R

**DATED: 19.04.2025 PW.1 PRESENT AND OATH IS ADMINISTERED.**

**FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION BY Smt.D.S. ADVOCATE FOR DEFENDANT:**

13. The plaintiff company is a Registered Company. It is true to suggest that the plaintiff has not raised any E-way bills. Witness volunteers that the goods sold are exempted goods and therefore, E-way bills are not necessary. It is not true to suggest that the plaintiff has created the so called fictitious screenshots narrated in the para No.7 of the evidence affidavit. It is true to suggest that Ex.P.20 does not bear my signature. After the matter was settled in Com.O.S.1083/2022 we started supplying goods to the defendant on credit basis. We have not obtained any cheque from the defendant. We have not any execution petition to execute the decree passed in Com.O.S.1083/2022. It is not true to suggest that though the defendant is not liable to pay the suit claim we have produced fabricated invoices.

**RE-EXAMINATION: NIL.**

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court.)

**R O I & A C**

**(JITHENDRANATH C.S.)  
LXXXVI ACC & SJ, BENGALURU.**