

Witness duly sworn on 19.09.2023.

13. Further examination in chief by Sri.HKVL for the plaintiff: I have produced copies of 1st defendant's reply dated 30.01.2016 and 26.02.2016 as the originals are misplaced while shifting the plaintiff's office from MG Road to the present address. The same are marked as **Ex.P14 and P15** respectively.

14. Cross examination by Smt.JP for the 1st defendant:

I joined the plaintiff company during 2011 as Manager. The director of the company gave me instructions to file the suit. I have personal knowledge of the suit transaction. It is false to suggest that I have filed the suit based on instructions and not personal knowledge. It is not correct to suggest that I was not personally involved in the suit transaction.

15. There was a written communication from the 1st defendant requesting for sanction of loan. Witness volunteers, the same is recorded in the deed of mortgage. It is true that the plaintiff has not signed the deed of

mortgage. It is not correct to say that the loan is given to the person who gives collateral security. I deny that Ex.P3 is concocted for the purpose of this case. I am not aware if the mortgage is reflected in EC for other revenue documents.

(Further cross examination deferred to 04.10.2023 at the request of the 1st defendant's counsel finally on cost of Rs.3,000/-)

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I & A.C.,

**LXXXIV Addl. City Civil & Sessions Judge,
(CCH-85) Commercial Court, Bengaluru**