

Witness present and duly sworn on 14.01.2026.

Further cross examination by Sri.NLV advocate for the plaintiff:-

6. I am working in the company of defendant No.5 since 2012. We had not received any response to public sale notices issued in newspapers. It is false to suggest that prior to execution of Ex.D42 Sale Deed defendant No.5 was aware about present court proceedings. We had obtained legal opinion and got published public notices in

newspapers and we had also gone through a proceedings of 2018 prior to execution of our sale deed. I am not producing aforesaid legal opinion today. I have to check whether I can produce such legal opinion in this case or not. Defendant No.1 had not informed us about pendency of present proceedings before court prior to execution of Ex.D42 Sale Deed.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I & A.C.,

(ANAND T. CHAVAN)
LXXXIV Addl. City Civil & Sessions Judge,
(CCH-85) Commercial Court, Bengaluru