

Witness present and duly sworn on 18.12.2025.

Cross examination by Smt.AJ advocate for the plaintiff:-

3. It is false to suggest that I was aware about the facts narrated in para Nos.1 to 8 of my chief examination, prior to execution of Sale Deed in favour of defendant No.5. It is false to suggest that at the time of execution of Sale Deed by defendant No.1 in favour of defendant No.5, we were aware that defendant No.1 has not taken any permission from the Court. I have taken assistance from my Counsel while drafting my chief examination affidavit.

4. The word due legal course in para No.10 of my affidavit refers to legal opinion taken by us and it also refers to paper publication of our public notices which have produced by us. We have no impediment to produce such legal opinions received by us. I do not know the dates of legal opinions. Ex.P3 Mortgage Deed has not come to our notice. I have not verified documents produced and marked by plaintiff before giving my evidence. It is false to suggest that in view of Ex.P3 Mortgage Deed defendant No.1 had no right to execute Sale Deed in favour of defendant No.5. Witness

volunteers, we have received legal opinion before execution of sale deed. I do not know whether defendant No.1 had taken any consent from the plaintiff for execution of sale deed in favour of defendant No.5. I have not seen Ex.P16 before. I have no information about said judgment. I have mentioned the contents of para No.17 of my affidavit on the basis of legal opinion received by us. I have not seen order dated 28.04.2018 referred in said para No.17 of my chief examination affidavit.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I & A.C.,

(ANAND T. CHAVAN)
LXXXIV Addl. City Civil & Sessions Judge,
(CCH-85) Commercial Court, Bengaluru