

**Witness present and duly sworn on 12.12.2025.**

**Further cross examination by Sri.AKS advocate for the plaintiff:-**

14. It is false to suggest that defendant No.1 company was subjected to SARFAEICE Act in view of committing default in repayment of loan. I have to see the documents to say why defendants filed WP No. 17586/2024. on confrontation of order copy of said WP,

witness states that, he has no idea about said case. It is true to suggest that petitioner in said WP No.17586/2024 is defendant No.1 company. The said digital certified copy of order in WP No.17586/2024 is marked as **Ex.P16** as per request of plaintiff's counsel, subject to objection of defendant No.1's counsel stating that, plaintiff is not a party in said case.

15. Witness is confronted with copy of documents obtained from website of Ministry of Corporate Affairs and he admits that as per said document, defendant No.1 company is registered under CIN No. U55101KA2007PTC041367. Said document is marked as **Ex.P17** as per request of counsel for plaintiff. I have no idea if defendant No.1 company is a party to Debenture Trust Deed dated 22.10.2020 entered into with Vistara ITCL India Limited. One Mr.Sachin Raju has signed said document on behalf of defendant No.1.

**Re-examination:-** Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I & A.C.,

**(ANAND T. CHAVAN)**  
**LXXXIV Addl. City Civil & Sessions Judge,**  
**(CCH-85) Commercial Court, Bengaluru**