

WITNESS CALLED AND DULY SWORN ON 19.09.2024.**FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION BY SRI.B.A.J., FOR THE ADVOCATE FOR THE PLAINTIFF:-**

55. I am aware of the contents of Ex.D.64 to 94. These documents are related to Plaintiff and his wife. I am not aware of that, in the assessment year 2011-12, the I.T. returns use to file manually. May be from assessment year 2012-13, filing of I.T. returns are computerized (online filing). I am not author of Ex.D.64 to 94. Ex.P.8 and Ex.D.65, acknowledgments are one and same, but the balance sheet is different, the balance sheet produced along with Ex.P.8 is manipulated one.

Question: Is there any provision in I.T. to show the names of the person to whom it was paid ?

Answer: There is a provision under the head of Loans and Advances to show the advance pay. Loans and advances specifically can be mentioned or over all can be mentioned.

56. I do not know, the scrutiny assessment under sec.148 of I.T. Act for the assessment year 2014-15 of A.Saritha. I am not aware of the scrutiny assessment under sec.143(2) of I.T. Act for the assessment year 2014-15 of A.Saritha.

FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION: DEFERRED AT THE REQUEST OF ADVOCATE FOR PLAINTIFF.

(Typed to my dictation in open court.)

R.O.I & A.C.,

sd/-

(SUMANGALA S. BASAVANNOUR)

LXXXII ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE,
BENGALURU.