

Witness present and duly sworn on 07.01.2026**Further cross examination by Sri.HPL advocate for the D.Hr:-**

32. Ex.P56 compliant was typed in Police station itself. I have signed said complaint after reading and understandings its contents. It is false to suggest that even prior to 23.08.2024, D.Hr No.2 had already taken possession of the entire apartment and they were in exclusive possession of said apartment. The Manager Akshay is still working with me. Name of son of D.Hr No.2 is Dinakar Gowda. It is false to suggest that on aforesaid date, said Dinakar Gowda was supervising the minor repair works in said apartment, I myself interfered with work of his workers. It is false to suggest that despite advise of said Dinakar Gowda as to not to enter the said flats, I myself raised quarrel with him.

33. On said day, our Manager was carrying out aluminum work and door fitting works. It is true to suggest that I have not furnished any documents with regard to such work either in above complaint or before this court. It is false to suggest that I have filed said false complaint for the purpose of this case. It is false to suggest that Dinakar Gowda and his cousin Manjunath

have not raised any quarrel with us as averred in Ex.P56 complaint.

34. It is true to suggest that aforesaid criminal case has been stayed by Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in Criminal Petition No.10860/2024. It is false to suggest that though no such incident has taken place, I have filed false complaint against aforesaid persons. I have produced Sharing Agreement referred in my affidavit. It is false to suggest that I myself have created said Sharing Agreement. It is false to suggest that no such agreement has been executed between myself and D.Hrs.

Re-examination:- Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I & A.C.,

(ANAND T. CHAVAN)
LXXXIV Addl. City Civil & Sessions Judge,
(CCH-85) Commercial Court, Bengaluru