

WITNESS CALLED AND DULY SWORN ON 06.03.2026.**CROSS EXAMINATION BY SRI.T.V.S., ADVOCATE FOR THE PLAINTIFF:-**

1. I know plaintiff since 7 years. The plaintiff is use to supply goods to me. It is true to suggest that, the plaintiff is the proprietor of 'Vaishnavi Labels and Accessories'. Minto Clothing seal appearing on document produced by the plaintiff are belongs to us. It is true to suggest that, while receiving the goods, we use to affix our seal and signature on Invoices and Delivery challans. It is false to suggest that, some times plaintiff is use to supply the goods to himself or through porter.

2. It is true to suggest that, if plaintiff supplied the goods he will maintain the account, in same time I will also maintain the account. It is true to suggest that, the items which received by me, we are paying GST. There is no any impediment to submit the GST document before the court. It is true to suggest that, plaintiff is use to supply the goods if I place the order orally. Witness volunteers even without placing the order plaintiff has supplied the goods. It is false to suggest that, the plaintiff is use to supply the goods and after wards he has submitted the invoice. It is false to suggest that, the invoices numbers are mentioned in the delivery challans.

3. It is false to suggest that, I have not produced any documents to substantiate of due towards plaintiff for Rs.4,65,194/-. It is true to suggest that, each and every invoice containing terms and conditions. I use to purchase the goods from plaintiff for my

business purpose. It is true to suggest that, the plaintiff has mentioned the clause 'payment must be made immediately or else interest will be charged 24% p.a.' in invoices.

4. I have not informed in the writing to the plaintiff the goods are poor quality. It is false to suggest that, I have used all the materials supplied by the plaintiff for my business. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing false evidence as I have used the goods supplied, but I am stating not used the said materials for my business purpose. It is false to suggest that, I never submitted 18 cheques of Rs.25,000/- each to the plaintiff. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing false in my affidavit that, the plaintiff is agreed to receive 50% of amount as due.

5. It is false to suggest that, on the date of institution of the suit I am due as claimed in the plaint. It is false to suggest that, if my wife who is proprietor appeared before the court and lead the evidence, she will depose truth, therefore, to avoid the truth I appeared before the court and deposing false evidence. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing false evidence to avoid payment to the plaintiff.

RE-EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in open court.)

R.O.I & A.C.,

sd/-

(VIDYADHAR SHIRAHATTI)

LXXXII ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE,
BENGALURU.