

-3-

Witness is recalled and duly sworn on 25.07.2023.

Further Cross-Examination by Advocate for accused :

I am working as Office Assistant with CW1 since 15 years. I know the transaction b/w CW1 and Accused. They wanted to open coffee shop at Hulsoor. CW1 and Accused with a partnership had started coffee shop in February 2015. the said shop was existed for a period of one year. In the said shop coffee, snacks and other things used be sold. The food was prepared in the said coffee shop itself. It is true to suggest that, Accused is having Kumbakonam Traditional Food preparing kitchen. It is false to suggest that, the said Kumbakonam Traditional Food was situated at Indiranagar. Witness volunteers that the same is in Hulsoor. November 2014 the Interior Decoration work was started and completed in February 2015 by the Accused. It is true to suggest that, in the year 2015 February the Kumbakonam Traditional Food was inaugurated. It is true to suggest that, the said shop was existing for a period of one and a half year. The said shop was closed because the Accused stopped visiting the shop and the followers of the Accused would come a used to quarrel. I do not know the names of the followers of the Accused. I have given the compliant against the followers of the Accused. Witness volunteers that we have not given the complaint the followers but we have given complaint against the Accused. Accused had borrowed Rs. 10 Lakh from CW1 as he failed to

-4-

repay the same and interior works was not completed with quality work, therefore the complaint was registered against the Accused.

In the year March 2015, the Accused had taken second loan with CW1 and from her daughter. There is no loan agreement for the second loan. But he repaid the same on the same month by way of cheque. It is false to suggest that, Accused has not taken any loan second time, I am deposing falsely. Myself, CW1 and the CW9 were present at the time of inspection of the interior work. Other workers of the shop were also present. It is true to suggest that, as on the date of inspection, the Accused was not present. Witness volunteers that we called him but he didn't come. We have not issued any notice to appear at the time of inspection, but through mail we have intimated to Accused. I have furnished the mail copy to IO. It is false to suggest that, we have not intimated the Accused to come at the time of inspection. CW1 has not appointed any valuator at the time of inspection. When spot panchama was done myself, CW1, one Rohini was present. Other than us no body was there. I have signed on the spot mahazar at our Domlur Office. It is false to suggest that, Ex.P2 was not done in the spot and I was not present at the spot. The spot mahazar was conducted at No. 605, 7th Main, BDA Houses, Domlur, Blore – 560071. It is true to suggest that, Kumbakonam Coffee Shop was opened at Vivekanandanagar, Old Madras Road. At that spot no

-5-

panchanama was conducted. It is false to suggest that, as I am working with CW1, to help her I am deposing falsely.

Re-Examination : Nil

(Typed to my dictation in open Court).

R O I & A C

I ACMM, Bengaluru.