

**IN THE COURT OF III ADDITIONAL CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, AT BENGALURU**

PRESENT
SRI.SIDDARAMA .S. M.A., LL.M.
III ACJM, BENGALURU.

PCR No.14984/2022
Cr.No.0366/2023

THIS IS THE 18th DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2025

Complainant : State by R.T.Nagar Police
Station, Bengaluru.

V/s

Accused Person: Praveena N.C.
W/o Ganesh Rao,
Aged about 38 years,
R/at No.5, Thigalara Beedi,
Nagavalli Tumkur,
Near Malla Masjid,
Ganganagar, Ariyur,
Tumkur Taluk and District.

ORDER ON B REPORT

The complainant is company namely Manappuram Finance Ltd., represented by its Legal Manager Mr.Jude.G S/o George Wilfred has filed this private complaint under section 200 of Cr.PC against accused person for the alleged commission of offence punishable under section 406, 415 and 420 of IPC.

2. This court referred the matter for investigation to the RT Nagar PS. On the basis of the information the SHO of RT Nagar Police Station has registered the FIR in Crime

No.0366/2023. The IO after investigation submitted 'B' report that the complainant has not appeared before the IO after lapse of 80 days lodging the complaint.

3. The complainant has filed protest petition/objection to 'B' report. In the protest petition it is contended that the IO has never summoned the petitioner and has not obtained any statement though the complaint is of distinct nature and is independent and prayed to reject the 'B' report.

4. Heard the arguments and perused the materials on record.

5. After hearing the parties and on perusal of records, the following points arose for my consideration.

1. Whether the PSI of R.T.Nagar PS filed the B report deserves to be allowed ?

2. What order?

6. My findings to the above points are as follows:

POINT No.1: In the Negative.

POINT No.2: As per final order for the following:-

REASONS

7. The case of the prosecution is that the complainant is a registered company and conduct the business of non banking financial institution. On 11.07.2022, the accused pledged 41.1 grams gold ornaments and obtained

loan of Rs.1,00,000/- from the complainant. But the Gubbi Police have visited the complainant along with accused in Crime No.177/2022 under section 380 of IPC stating that the gold ornament pledged with the complainant by the accused is a stolen property. Hence, the accused has committed breach of trust and cheated the complainant and thereby the accused has committed the above alleged offences.

8. This court referred the matter for investigation to the RT Nagar PS. The IO after investigation submitted 'B' report that the complainant has not appeared before him for investigation.

9. On reading of the complaint averments it discloses the commission of alleged criminal offences, the 'B' report is filed only on the ground that there is no satisfactory evidence materials available to file charge sheet and also the complainant has failed to produce the documents and secure the witnesses. However, the said evidence can be produced in the court of trial and it is for the complainant to adduce evidence and produce materials in support of allegations made in the complaint. On perusal of materials on record the IO has not investigated the accused person and not seized any materials and not obtained any statement from them and stated that the complainant has not cooperated for investigation and not furnished the supported documents. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case this

Court is of the opinion that it is not necessary to refer the case to the Police for investigation. If any required to collect evidence by Police, the complainant can collect as per section 202 of Cr.PC. It is necessary to give an opportunity to the complainant to prove the case. There is no sufficient materials to take cognizance at this stage if complainant able to produce documents to attract above offences and proceed the case then it can be proceeded. Therefore, 'B' report is liable to be rejected. Hence, this court answered **Point No.1 in the Negative.**

10. Point No.2: For the foregoing reasons I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

The 'B' report filed by the investigating officer of RT Nagar Police Station is hereby rejected.

The complainant is a company namely Manapuram Finance Ltd., represented by its Legal Manager Mr.Jude.G S/o George Wilfred is permitted to prove his case of examining himself and other witnesses.

For sworn statement.

(Dictated to the stenographer directly on computer, typed by him, corrected and then signed and pronounced by me in the open court on this the 18th day of November, 2025).

III ACJM, Bengaluru.