

The complainant No.2 has filed an affidavit on 07.10.2023 by stating that his Advocate Syed Khaleel Pasha died as per the information given by one Mahesh. But, thereafter, he learnt that his counsel is alive. Therefore, he apologize to the court and seeking permission to with draw his previous affidavit. Thereby seeking permission to continue the Advocate Syed Khaleel Pasha to him.

On careful perusal of the order sheet on 02.06.2023 the complainant No.2 filed an affidavit by stating that his advocate Syed Khaleel Pasha was no more and with permission he engage Sri.MSK Advocate. Now again he filed affidavit by stating that one Mahesh Advocate misleading him. Hence he submitted affidavit as his Advocate was died. But on credible source he came to know that his Advocate Syed Khaleel Pasha is alive. Hence he apologize the court and seeking permission to with draw the previous affidavit and to continuing the previous counsel. After

submitting of his previous affidavit it was posted for hearing, thereafter, on 24.08.2023 the complainant No.2 submits that he had mistakenly filed affidavit on 02.06.2023. Therefore, on the basis of affidavit filed on 02.06.2023 order has not been passed till today. As such on his affidavit dated 02.06.2023 there is no order. Hence, he can be permitted to continue his previous counsel. Hence, I proceed to pass the following;

ORDER

The complainant No.2 is permitted to continue his previous counsel Syed Khaleel Pasha to proceed on behalf of him.

(Dictated to the stenographer, transcribed by him on computer, corrected by me and pronounced in the open court on this the 16th day of October, 2023.)

III ACMM, Bengaluru.