

KABC030437002019



**IN THE COURT OF THE I ADDL.CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, BENGALURU**

Dated: This the 05th day of August - 2026

PRESENT: **SRI.MANJUNATHA.K.P,**
B.A.L., LL.B.,
I Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bengaluru

C.C.No.13722/2019

COMPLAINANT :- State by Vyalikaval Police Station

(By Sr.APP)

ACCUSED :-

B.S Prakash S/o. Late Siddappa,
Aged about 50 years,
R/at.No.81/23,Srinivasaraj Road,
6th Temple Road, 16th Cross Road,
Malleshwaram, Bengaluru.

(By Sri.C.B.A.Sab., Adv.,)

JUDGMENT

This final report filed by the Vyalikaval police station against the accused for the offences punishable U/s.447, 427, 504, 506, 354(B) of IPC.

2. **BRIEF FACTS :-**

The case of the prosecution is that on 17.08.2018 the accused commits criminal trespass into the house of CW.1 at 23/1, 6th Temple Street, 16th Cross, Malleshwaram, Bengaluru on previous enmity, commits mischief and thereby causes damage by destroying the junk sheets, compound wall and caused loss to the tune of Rs.20,000/- to CW.1, on 28.08.2018 in between 8.30 to 9.30 A.M the accused came near the house of CW.1 and intentionally insulted CW.1 knowingly such insult will provoke breach of public peace, gave life threat to CW.1 and uses criminal force on CW.1 woman with the intention of compelling her to be naked. In pursuance of the complaint I.O has filed charge - sheet against accused for the aforesaid offences.

3. Based on the information of CW.1, the police have registered the case, investigation was conducted and after completion of the investigation charge sheet filed against the accused stating that he has committed the aforesaid offences.

4. The accused has entered appearance in response to the summons and have been enlarged on bail. The prosecution papers have been supplied to the accused. After hearing the

charge is read over and explained to the accused to which h04pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried.

5. In order to prove the prosecution case, the prosecution has examined PW.1 to PW.4 witnesses and Ex.P.1 to Ex.P.5 are exhibited. In spite of repeated process including summons, proclamation, rest of the prosecution witnesses have not secured. Hence, the predecessor of this court after granted sufficient opportunities, evidence of said witnesses orders to be dropped.

6. The statement U/Sec.313 of Cr.P.C., was recorded, read over, explained to the accused for which he pleaded not guilty and denied the incriminating circumstances which appeared against him. Thereafter called upon him to enter into defence evidence, to which he submits no defence evidence to make and to file statement under Sec.(5) of Cr.P.C.,

7. Heard arguments on both sides, perused materials placed on record.

8. The following points arise for my consideration:

1. Whether the prosecution proves beyond reasonable doubt that on 17.08.2018 the

accused commits criminal trespass into the house of CW.1 at 23/1, 6th Temple Street, 16th Cross, Malleshwaram, Bengaluru on previous enmity and thereby committed an offence punishable U/s.447 of IPC ?

2. Whether the prosecution proves beyond reasonable doubt that the accused has commits mischief and thereby caused damage by destroying the junk sheets, compound wall and caused loss to the tune of Rs.20,000/- to CW.1 and thereby committed an offence punishable U/s.427 of IPC ?

3. Whether the prosecution proves beyond reasonable doubt that on 28.08.2018 in between 8.30 to 9.30 A.M the accused came near the house of CW.1 and intentionally insulted CW.1 knowingly such insult will provoke breach of public peace and thereby committed an offence punishable U/s.504 of IPC ?

4. Whether the prosecution proves beyond reasonable doubt that the accused has gave life threat to CW.1 and thereby committed an offence punishable U/s.506 of IPC ?

5. Whether the prosecution proves beyond reasonable doubt that the accused has used criminal force to on CW.1 woman with the intention of compelling her to be naked and thereby committed an offence punishable U/s. 354(B) of IPC ?

6. What order?

9. My answer to the aforesaid points is as under:-

Point No.1 to 5 In the Negative

Point No.6 - As per final order for the following;

REASONS

10. **Point No.1 to 5 :-** As these points are inter related to each other, hence they taken together for common discussion to avoid brevity.

11. Admittedly to prove the prosecution case, prosecution has examined 5 witnesses i.e., CW.1/PW.1 – Padma she is complainant, CW.4/PW.2 – Kumar who is husband of complainant as well as hearsay witness, CW.6/PW.3 – Erappa Kambara who is investigation Officer i.e., Head Constable, CW.5/PW.4 – B.Puttaswamy who is eye witness as well as father of complainant and prosecution also exhibited 5 documents they are Ex.P.1/complainant, Ex.P.2/Spot mahazar, Ex.P.3 & Ex.P.4/Photos and Ex.P.5/FIR.

12. During the course of arguments Ld.Sr.APP and accused counsel argued as per the evidence and prays to dispose the case.

13. Admittedly this case arise out of the complaint given by the CW.1/Padma against the accused for the offences punishable U/s.447, 427, 504, 506, 354(B) of IPC. Of course during the course of evidence PW.1 being complainant stated before the court dated:17.08.2018 she is not in the station i.e., she has coming from Tirupathi and she received phone from her neighbor with regard to the causing of damage to the junk sheet shed by the accused, hence she given complaint. Again he also stated that dated:28.08.2018 at 8.30 to 9.00 A.M the accused came near the said place and abused in filthy language and threat to be caused death and also attempt to outrage her modesty, hence she made complaint as per Ex.P.1.

14. And during the course of cross examination made by the Ld.APP she also stated that about causing of outraging of her modesty by touching her chest and assaulted with hands by the accused and also abused her by saying to removed her cloths as well as he necked the CW.1 and she also stated about causing of Rs.20,000/- damage to her junk sheets and she also stated about given of complaint dated:20.08.2018 and drawing of mahazar as per Ex.P.2 in the incident place and clicking of Ex.P.3 and Ex.P.4 photos by the police.

During the course of cross-examinations he admitted the following points....

ನನ್ನ ದೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಆರೋಪಿ ನನ್ನ ಮೇಲೆ ದೈಹಿಕ ದೌರ್ಜನ್ಯ ಮಾಡಿ ಎದೆಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ನನ್ನ ಕೈಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೊಡೆದಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿ. ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಬಟ್ಟೆ ಬಿಚ್ಚಿಸಿ ಬೆತ್ತಲೆಯಾಗಿ ಮೆರವಣಿಗೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ ಎಂದು ಬೈದಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿ. ಅದೇ ಜಂಕ್ ಶೀಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೆಡವಿ ಹಾಳು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸುಮಾರು ರೂ.20,000/- ನಷ್ಟ ಉಂಟಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿ.

ನಾನು ದಿ.28.08.2018 ಕ್ಕೆ ದೂರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಮರುದಿನ ಪೊಲೀಸರು ಘಟನಾ ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 9.00 ರಿಂದ 9.45 ಗಂಟೆ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಚಾಸಾ.2 ಮತ್ತು 3 ರವರ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಸ್ಥಳ ಮಹಜರ್ ಮಾಡಿದರು ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿ. ಆ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೊಲೀಸರು ಎರಡು ಫೋಟೋಗಳನ್ನು ಸಹ ತೆಗೆದಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿ. ಫೋಟೋಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ನೋಡಿ ಗುರುತಿಸಿದ್ದು ನಿಪಿ.2 ಮತ್ತು ನಿಪಿ.3 ಎಂದು ಗುರುತಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ನನಗೆ ಪಕ್ಕದ ಮನೆಯವರು ಶೀಟನ್ನು ಕಿತ್ತುಹಾಕಿ ಮೋರಿಗೆ ಎಸೆದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರು ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ದಿ.17.08.2018 ರಂದು ರಾತ್ರಿ 10.15 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ನನಗೆ ಫೋನ್ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆ ದಿನ ನಾನು ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆಗೆ ದೂರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸದರಿ ದೂರಿನ ಪ್ರತಿ ಈ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರುಪಡಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನನಗೆ ನೆನಪಿಲ್ಲ. ಆರೋಪಿ ದಿ.28.08.2018 ರಂದು ಅವಾಚ್ಯ ಶಬ್ದಗಳಿಂದ ಬೈಯಿದ್ದು ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ಆರೋಪಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಪಕ್ಕದ ಮನೆಯ ವಾಸಿ ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿ. ನಮಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಆರೋಪಿಗೆ ನಮ್ಮ ವಾಸದ ಮನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಸಿವಿಲ್ ವಿವಾದ ಇತ್ತು, ಅದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿದೆ.

15. PW.2 - Kumar who is husband of complainant he stated before the court that dated:17.08.2018 himself and his wife coming from Tirupathi and received information from

neighborhood about causing of damage to the junk sheet shed by the accused and dated:20.08.2018 at 8.30 to 9.00 A.M the accused have abused in filthy language towards CW.1 and he also stated that the said information gathered from his neighbors the said exact words reproduced for sake of convenience ದಿನಾಂಕ:28.08.2018 ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 8.30 ರಿಂದ 9.00 ಗಂಟೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಆರೋಪಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಮನೆಯ ಬಳಿ ಬಂದು ಚಾಸಾ.1 ರವರಿಗೆ ಅವಾಚ್ಯ ಶಬ್ದಗಳಿಂದ ಬೈದು, ಎಳೆದಾಡಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಮಗೆ ಫೋನ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಪಕ್ಕದ ಮನೆಯವರು ತಿಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

During the course of cross-examination he admitted the following points....

ನಾವು ತಿರುಪತಿಗೆ ದಿ.17.08.2018 ರಂದು ಹೋದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ದಾಖಲೆಯನ್ನು ಪೊಲೀಸರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. 4 ಜನ ಬಂದು ಗೋಡೆ ಮತ್ತು ಶೀಟನ್ನು ಒಡೆದು ಹಾಕಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನನ್ನ ಜೊತೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಚಿನ್ನಪ್ಪ ರವರು ರಾತ್ರಿ 2.00 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಆಗ ನಾವು ತಿರುಪತಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದೆವು. ಆಗ ನಾನು ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ದೂರು ಕೊಡುವಂತೆ ಚಿನ್ನಪ್ಪ ರವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಹಾಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ತೊಂದರೆ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ದಿ.18.08.2018 ರಂದು ತಿರುಪತಿಯಿಂದ ವಾಪಸ್ ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ ಸದರಿ ದಿನ ಠಾಣೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ದೂರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆ ದಿನ ನಾವು ಯಾವುದೇ ದೂರನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ನಾನು ಪೊಲೀಸರ ಮುಂದೆ 4 ಜನ ಬಂದು ಗೋಡೆ ಮತ್ತು ಶೀಟನ್ನು ಒಡೆದು ಹಾಕಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚಿನ್ನಪ್ಪ ನವರು ಫೋನ್ ಮಾಡಿ ನನಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂಬ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ.

ದಿ.28.08.2018 ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 9.00 ಗಂಟೆ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದು, ಚಾಸಾ.1 ರವರನ್ನು ಹಲ್ಲೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾನು ನೋಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದರೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ನಾನು ಕೆಲಸದಿಂದ ಬರುವಷ್ಟರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು ಎಂದು ನುಡಿಯುತ್ತಾರೆ. ನಾನು ಮಲ್ಲೇಶ್ವರಂ ತಿರುಪತಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾವಲುಗಾರನಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆ. ನನ್ನ ಕೆಲಸದ ಅವಧಿ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 6.00 ರಿಂದ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 2.00 ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ ಚಾಸಾ.1 ರವರನ್ನು ಹಲ್ಲೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ನಮ್ಮ ಪಕ್ಕದ ಮನೆಯವರಾದ ಬೆಟ್ಟೇಗೌಡರವರು ಫೋನ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 9.00 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ನಮ್ಮ ಮನೆಯಿಂದ ತಿರುಪತಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನ ಅರ್ಧ ಕಿ.ಮೀ ಗಿಂತ ಹತ್ತಿರವಿದೆ ಸದರಿ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಪಕ್ಕದ ಮನೆಯವರು ಫೋನ್ ಮಾಡಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾನು ಪೊಲೀಸರ ಮುಂದೆ ಹೇಳಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮ ಮತ್ತು ಆರೋಪಿ ನಡುವೆ ಸಿವಿಲ್ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿ. ಸಿವಿಲ್ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ಇದ್ದ ಕಾರಣ ನನ್ನ ಪತ್ನಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಗಲಾಟೆ ನಡೆಯದೇ ಇದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಪದೆ ಪದೆ ಆರೋಪಿ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಸುಳ್ಳು ದೂರು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿ. ಈ ದೂರಿಗೂ ಮೊದಲು ನನ್ನ ಪತ್ನಿ ಆರೋಪಿ ವಿರುದ್ಧ 2 – 3 ದೂರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಅದು ವಜಾ ಆಗಿದೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿ. ನಾನು ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೆ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 9.10 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಬಂದೆ. ಆ ಸಮಯದಲಿ ಆರೋಪಿ ಒಬ್ಬರೇ ಚಾಸಾ.1 ರವರಿಗೆ ಹಲ್ಲೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಆಗ ನಾನು ಬಿಡಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಪಟ್ಟರೂ ಹೊಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು,

16. PW.4 – B.Puttaswamy who is father of PW.1 and father-in-law of PW.2 he also stated same version i.e., accused and CW.1 to CW.4 are relatives and in the year 2019, he has visited to his daughter house and when they coming from

Tirupathi received information with regard to the causing of damage to the junk sheet shed and abusing language by the accused towards PW.1 etc., but in cross examination he admitted that he has not seen the causing of damage to the junk sheets etc.,.

17. As stated supra in spite of repeated summons, NBW's and proclamations the rest of the prosecution witnesses have not secured, hence this court after granted sufficient opportunities evidence of said remaining witnesses is taken as dropped.

18. Of course PW.3 - Erappa Kambara who is Head Constable as well as Investigation Officer stated before the court that dated:28.08.2018 at 6.30 P.M, he received complainant from the CW.1 and he registered FIR as per Ex.P.5 and drawn the mahazar as per Ex.P.2 dated : 29.08.2018 at about 9.00 to 9.45 A.M and he also stated about clicking of Ex.P.3 & Ex.P.4 photos in the incident place and recording of statements of CW.4 and CW.5 and filing of charge-sheet against the accused. In his cross - examination admitted that CW.4 and CW.5 are eye witness and CW.4 and CW.5 are husband of CW.1 and father. So admittedly PW.1 PW.2 and PW.4 they being daughter, husband and father

stated same version with regard to the causing of damage by the accused dated:17.08.2018 and causing of assault as well as criminal intimidation and other acts as per the charge-sheet materials dated:28.08.2018 and 17.08.2018. But to substantiate the causing of damage to the junk sheets of the PW.1, there is no estimations are placed by the prosecution in this case.

19. Of course Ex.P.3 and Ex.P.4 they being a photos confers to the court that about causing of damage to the junk sheets including cement bricks wall, that means the said junk sheets and cement bricks have been broken. But first of all the rights and ownership of PW.1 over the alleged incident place has not been proved in this case and further as argued by the accused counsel PW.1, 2 and 4 are interested witness, with each other, i.e., PW.1 is daughter of PW.4 and PW.2 is husband of PW.1. So except said interested witnesses evidence there is no other independent witness evidence has been placed in this case to corroborate the case of the prosecution.

20. Of course PW.3 being Investigation Officer stated some version with regard to the conduct of investigation in proper manner, but it is not a grounds to convict the accused. Because PW.2 who is a husband of PW.1 categorically stated before the court that about pending of one civil case between

themselves and accused over the alleged incident place and he also admitted that about filing of complaint oftenly by his wife against the accused and he also admitted that prior to the present complaint there are 2 - 3 complaints have been dismissed which have filed by his wife.

21. He also admitted that dated:28.08.2018 he has not present at the time of assault by the accused. So the said admissions of PW.2 who being a husband of PW.1 destroyed the entire case of the prosecution and it creates very doubt in the mind of the court about the genuiness of the incident. Further PW.1 also admitted in her cross-examination with regard to the pendency of civil dispute between the complainant and accused, so the admissions of PW.1, 2 and 4 corroborates the case of the accused that since several years one civil suit is pending between them and complainant has made several complaints prior to this complaint and they have been dismissed.

22. So except oral evidence there is no other documents have placed by the prosecution to believe that exactly how much damage was caused to the junk sheets as well as cement bricks of the complainant and how and in what manner the accused has enter into the house of CW.1 in

wrongful manner and how and in what are the words uttered to provoke the breach of public peace including criminal intimidation is concerned. So in the absence of proof of said ingredients merely PW.1 and her husband PW.2 and her father PW.4 have stated some oral evidence with regard to the causing of damage to the junk sheets and cement bricks by the accused and when they have not in the station and dated:28.08.2018 the accused has abused in filthy language and threat to be caused death of PW.1 etc., are all not sufficient to convict the accused.

23. Because the admissions those have admitted by the PW.1, PW.2 and PW.4 those are referred above clearly indicates to the court that due to ill will between the complainant and accused since several years, this case has arisen and there is no cogent, definite and corroborative evidence in prosecution case to believe the arguments addressed by the Ld.APP. Accordingly I am of the opinion that prosecution has miserably failed to prove its case beyond reasonable doubt against the accused for the alleged offences as per the charge-sheet materials.

24. Because the admissions of the PW.1 to PW.4 those are referred above destroy the prosecution case in toto and

further there is no independent witnesses have supported the PW.1, 2 and 4 evidence and that too the said PW.1, 2 and 4 are interested with each other and their evidence is needs to be corroboration by way of other documentary evidence i.e., proof of PW.1 ownership and possession over the alleged junk sheet area has not been proved by placing either Katha extract nor other title documents and also there is no proof of causing of damage by placing estimation those caused to be junk sheets as per the charge-sheet materials.

25. So, in the absence of proof of said materials any amount of oral evidence placed by the PW.1 to PW.4 and Ex.P.1 to Ex.P.5 documents are not sufficient to convict the accused. So in the absence of proof of said materials any allegations made by the PW.1, PW.2 and PW.4 for the offences U/s.447, 427, 504, 506, 354(B) have not at all support the prosecution case. Accordingly accused is entitled for benefit of doubt as he has not found guilty. Hence, the accused deserves to be acquitted. Therefore, I answer points No.1 to 5 in the **NEGATIVE**.

26. **Point No.6:-** For the aforesaid reasons, I proceed to pass the following:-

ORDER

Acting U/s.248(1) Cr.P.C., accused is hereby **ACQUIT** for the offences punishable U/s.447, 427, 504, 506, 354(B) of IPC.

The bail bond of the accused and that of his surety stands cancelled.

(Dictated by me on computer, typed by the steno, same was corrected by me and then pronounced in open Court on the 05th August - 2026).

(Manjunatha.K.P)
I ACJM, BENGALURU

ANNEXURES	
LIST OF WITNESSES EXAMINED ON BEHALF OF PROSECUTION:-	
PW.1	Padma
PW.2	Kumar
PW.3	Erappa Kambara
PW.4	B.Puttaswamy
LIST OF EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF PROSECUTION:-	
Ex.P.1	Complaint
Ex.P.1(a)	Signature of PW.1
Ex.P.2	Spot Mahazar
Ex.P.2(a)	Signature of PW.1
Ex.P.3 & 4	Photos
Ex.P.5	FIR
Ex.P.5(a)	Signature of PW.3
LIST OF MATERIAL OBJECTS GOT MARKED:-	
NIL	

(Manjunatha.K.P)
I ACJM, BENGALURU

05.08.2026

State by APP
Accused
For Judgment

(Judgment passed separately in the Open Court)

ORDER

Acting U/s.248(1) Cr.P.C., accused is hereby **ACQUIT** for the offences punishable U/s.447, 427, 504, 506, 354(B) of IPC.

The bail bond of the accused and that of his surety stands cancelled.

I Addl.ACJM