

**On 11.08.2025 witness duly sworn:**  
**Further Cross of PW-1 by Sri. S.R.N., Advocate for accused No.1.**

I don't remember in the month of May 2011 total transaction of our show room. I don't remember in the month of May 2011 total cheque and cash transactions of our show room. Witness further deposed that, the above said information there in the record. In the Month of May 2011 the cashier I.e accused No.1 made transaction regarding cash and cheque. In the absence of accused No.1 the accused No.2 did the job. In our showroom system, in the absence of the accused No.1, the accused No.2 did the job. But, I have received the receipt to show, who were present that day and also submitted the documents before this court.

2. In the month of May 2011, the accused No.2 had collected the cash and one Raghu and Arun went to deposit the amount to the bank in the absence of accused No.1. In this regard I have not maintained the record or register. I don't remember the Specific date regarding cash crunch. Myself I realized regarding the, cash crunch to make payment to my principle Hero Motors. I have feeling that, the cash crunch before May 2011. But, I realized May 2011. There is no monthly auditing in our showroom. Witness voluntary stated that, every month auditing in our auditor. In our auditor as not mentioned the cash crunch before May 2011. witness voluntary stated that, I trust my cashier, chief accountant and General manager. Every day I checked the, accounts what were they submitted.

3. I have produced the four to five letters issued by my to the accused No.1. I was the question to the accused no.1 regarding mismatching the money and ask for explanation. I was

issued letter to the accused No.1 in our show room and took the signature for having received. Denying the suggest that, I have produced false and created documents with respect to accused No.1. From May 2011 to August 2011 the accused No.1 was working as a Cashier and accused No.2 was working as a Chief accountant and General Manager. It is false to suggest that, what are all documents I.e challan and receipts produced by me with regard to signature of accused No.1. At the time of investigation, the Investigation Officer didn't took the the challen and receipts. Because, so much of documents and he told that, later you have produce the documents. I have not took any hand writing expert opinion about the signature of accused No.1 in the challan and receipts. It is true to suggest that, I have a challan book and receipt book. Till today, I have some books and challans and I have maintained. Witness voluntary stated that, I have submitted the, books and challans. It is not true to suggest that, I have created the signature of accused No.1 in the challan and receipts. It is not true to suggest that, the accused No.1 was working only one month in our showroom. It is not true to suggest that, I didn't issued the working I.D. card to the accused No.1, after the accused No.1 left the our showroom. I have produced the documents regarding the accused No.1 was fabricated sale receipt and sold the vehicles. I don't have record the accused No.1 how much sale receipt fabricated and sold the vehicles. Witness voluntary stated that, our chief accountant and General manager submitted the records day to day sale transaction and differentiate amount. I have no any information from the customers about the purchased vehicles on the influence of accused No.1. At the time of investigation, I have

-24-

not produced any documents regarding vehicles sold by the accused No.1 without my knowledge. It is true that generally, before appointing any cashier being a proprietor I have checked. The accused No.1 qualification as per her bachelor degree. I didn't get degree certificate from accused No.1. I have not received any experience certificate from accused no.1. Witness voluntary stated that, at the time there is no system to receive the experience certificate. It is not true to suggest that, accused No.1 asking me D group job. It is not true suggest that, qualification of accused No.1 is only S.S.L.C. Our earlier cashier provided the training to the accused No.1 for doing as a cashier. I don't remembered our earlier cashier name. I have not produced the documents regarding CCTV Purchase. I have not produced any photos regarding installed the CCTV. It is not true to suggest that, I have created the Ex.P.36 for the purpose of this case against accused No.1. I don't know, the Investigation Officer done the Mahazer for CCTV camera. It is not true to suggest that, I have merged video-graph against accused No.1 and stored in the Ex.P.36. On 08.09.2011 and 09.09.2011 I seen when the accused No.1 put the cash to the drawer. From May 2011 to September 2011 I issued the notice to the accused No.1 and ask for explanation regarding misappropriation of money. From May 2011 to September 2011, every day I have noticed the cash craunch by the accused No.1. It is true to suggest that, I have lodged the complaint against accused No.1 and 2 on 13.09.2011. I have no problem to lodge the complaint before 13.09.2011. Witnesses voluntary stated that, the accused No.1 gave the promise to explanation that is why I didn't lodge the complaint. The accused No.1 didn't gave any reply by way of writing. But, she

orally told. I don't remember when the first letter issued to the accused No.1. But, there in records. I have not any problem to take the legal action against the accused No.1 of non explanation by the accused No.1 for my first letter. I have produced the documents before this court with regard to misappropriated by the accused No.1. It is true to suggest that, our company gave the temporary receipts to the accused No.1 for the reason that, customers give the small small amount. It is true to suggest that, at the end of the day the temporary receipts were tallied. It is duty bounded to the cashier and accountant to check the amounts how much received for the vehicles. The cashier and chief accountant were mentioned the cash register at the time of incident. It is not true to suggest that, every day I have received the vehicles register book. I don't remembered when I have checked the vehicles register book. It is not true to suggest that, the proprietor is checked and verify the transaction of the earlier month in the existence month. Witness voluntary stated, the it is duty chief accountant and General manager.

**Due to paucity of court time, further cross examination of PW-1 is deferred.**

(the statement of the witness is records in the open court)

**ROI & AC.**

**III Addl.C.J.M., Bengaluru.**