

14-05-2026ORDERS ON B-REPORT

Complainant filed a private complaint against the accused persons for the offences punishable under Sections 120B, 504, 506, 406, 409, 420, 465, 468 and 471 r/w. 34 of IPC. Court referred the matter for investigation to the Yelahanka Police Station. Police filed B-report. Complainant filed objection challenging the B-report.

2. PSI of Yelahanka Police Station filed B-report stating that complainant failed to produce the witnesses or documentary proof. He came to know that civil suit is pending, so complainant can find a remedy in civil court.

3. The complainant filed protest petition contending that he made available all the documents insisted by the Investigating Officer, Investigating Officer never contacted over phone call, Investigating Officer made contrary statements that at one stretch he says he had contacted the complainant over phone call and at another stretch is says that they do not have the phone number so they are not able to contact the complainant, he never changed the address, summons and notices of court served upon his address and he has taken steps through the advocate.

4. Heard.

5. According to the facts of the case, accused No.1 conspired with accused No.3 to 7 made false representation that accused No.1 is the erstwhile owner of property by producing bogus Power of Attorney before the accused No.2-Land Acquisition Officer for obtaining compensation, accused No.2 without verifying the title documents and revenue documents disbursed compensation amount, accused No.2 ought not to have issued cheque of compensation on the basis of unregistered power of attorney and it caused huge loss to the Government ex-chequer, identity containing fabricated photos and forged signatures produced by accused No.1, accused No.3 to 7 before accused No.2, photo affixed on NOC would show the foul play of accused, accused No.2 handing glow with accused No.1, accused No.3 to 7 misappropriated award amount.

6. When there are allegation of misappropriation of property by fabricating the documents, the Investigating Officer must have collected the bogus power of attorney and compensation papers from the Office of the accused No.2 and he could have secured the FSL report regarding the fabrication of the documents. Further, he could have secured the title documents and revenue documents from the complainant. He relied upon the statement of the accused to form a opinion that dispute is of civil nature, divergent statement made by the Investigating

Officer regarding contacting the complainant entertain a doubt about contacting the complainant for production of witnesses and documents. As such, Investigating Officer did not make sincere efforts to secure the witnesses and documentary proof in order to ascertain whether the offences are made out or not. In view of the above, B-report filed by the Investigating Officer is not proper. Hence, I pass the following:

:O R D E R:

The B-report submitted by the PSI of Yelahanka Police Station is rejected.

Matter is referred for further investigation to the PSI of Yelahanka Police Station.

Await report by : 14.08.2026

IV ACJM, Bengaluru.