

Witness recalled and duly sworn on 29.06.2019.

Further Cross Examination by Sri.KAP Advocate for accused :-

Q:- All the loan applications were considered and sanctioned and attested by the president of the society, what do you say?

Ans:- The president has signed those applications but, on subsequent dates i.e., on the date of release the amount.

It is true to suggest that, out of 50 accounts 40 accounts were closed. I do not know whether society has initiated proceedings for recover amounts in respect of remaining 10 accounts and recovered the same from the respective borrowers. It is true to suggest that, the resolution of the society will be passed according to seniority of branch wise. It is not true to suggest that, in ExP139, dated.25.03.1999 bearing resolution no.29 is not written in seniority wise. It is the procedure to be followed by the society to mention in the resolution book with regard to the seniority wise in the said branch. It is not true to suggest that , there is no insertion in ExP139 in page no.250 and 251. The resolution will be recorded in the registers based on the records and documents. It is true to suggest that, in ExP140 at Page no.94 and 95 the resolution pertains to Shivajinagara branch, are not mentioned are seniority wise. I have mentioned in my report only with regard to 50 account but, not all accounts.

Further cross-examination:- Deferred at the request.

(Typed to my dictation in open court).

R O I & A C.

I A C M M.