

ಸಿ.ಸಿ. ನಂ. 12078-2006

ಪಿಡಬ್ಲ್ಯೂ-12

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯನ್ನು ದಿನಾಂಕ: 08-07-2025 ರಂದು ಕರೆಸಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಮಾಡಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಪಾಟೀ ಸವಾಲು ಆರೋಪಿತರ ಪರ ವಕೀಲರಿಂದ:

It is true to suggest that the signatures taken by me is pertaining to CW 53. It is true to suggest that 06-05-1997 to 12-04-1998 account statements are belongs to CW 53. the documents thous have seized in the presence of Pandurangha and Satyaprakash are independent witness, witness voluntarily stated that they are govt. employees.

Que: Any impediment to me to collect independent witness at the time of seizer of documents pertaining to the CW 53 ?

Ans: They are independent witness.

It is true to suggest that Pandurangha and Satyaprakash are only official witness and not independent witness. CW 53's memorandum of association i/e al-ameen housing development company directors also directors of Amanattu co-operative bank. I am not finding any documents about working of Accused in al-ameen housing development company.

Que: Do you have collect any evidence with respect destroyed of documents?

Ans: Documents are not available in the bank with respect to 2 crores amount are concerned, witness voluntarily stated that I have collect the documents Bank of Baroda pertaining to the 2 crore amount.

It is true to suggest that the said all documents pertaining the CW 53 with respect to 2 crores amount. I questioning of CW 53 in this regard. He denied the said question. I have collected the signature of Accused no.1 and 2, CW 53, to ascertain the fact with respect to the amount of Rs.2 crores.

Ex.P.93, 94, 95 are with respect the false accounts. With respect to 3 OD Original documents I.e Ex.P. 94, 95, 96 seized by the Commercial Street Police. Mahazar also drawn with respect of seized the documents.

(ಈ ಹಂತದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂದುವರಿದ ಪಾಟೀ ಸವಾಲಿಗಾಗಿ ಸಮಯಾವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ನೀಡಲಾಯಿತು )

(ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ತೆರೆದ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆರಳಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಎದುರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿ ಹೇಳಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಸರಿ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು.)

ಓ ಹೇ ಕೇ ಸ ಇ ಒ

1 ನೇ ಅ. ಮು. ನ್ಯಾ.ದಂ. ನ್ಯಾ