

IN THE COURT OF THE I ADDL CHIEF
METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE BANGALORE

CC No. 3599-2012

Deposition of	:	Aveera	CW:110
Father's Name	:	Lt. Antony	PW:20
Age	:	79 years	
Occupation	:	Retired Govt. Employee	
Residence	:	Yeshwanthapura, Blore.	

Duly Sworn on 23.11.2023

Examination in Chief by Sr. APP:

I know A1. In the year 2007, I saw the advertisement in respect of forming of layout. I had applied for the site in the Global City layout, Kodigehalli. The site no. was 102. I had paid the amount through cheque of Rs. 6,24,000/- Syndicate Bank, Yeshwanthapura. For having received the amount of the cheque they had issued receipts. They also executed agreement for the same in respect of site no. 102. The A1 also promised that he will pay the amount of 10% of my total amount monthly. Accordingly for the first month the paid Rs. 62,400/- through DD. For the same he had made endorsement. Said endorsement marked as Ex.P41(a). Accordingly I have produced the said receipt marked as Ex.P40 and agreement of sale marked as Ex.P41. I had made sufficient effort to get the site. Later, I came to know that the said office was close. Later, I came to

-2-

know that CCB have taken action. Accordingly, I went to CCB and lodged the complaint. Later, I made efforts to contact the Accused, but I could not secure them. I can identify the Accused.

Cross Examination by Advocate for A1:-

It is true to suggest that, A1 had not called me. They had took me to see the sites. One Shekhar accompanied us. It is true to suggest that, there was no any layout, it was a open land. It is true to suggest that, they promised that after converting the land they will execute the sale deed. I have not filed any civil or consumer case against the Accused. I had not issued any notice to Accused. I do not know whether the government had stopped the conversion of land around the Bengaluru City. I do not know delay is caused due to government policies. I do not know as CCB police seized the office of the Accused, therefore it was not possible to Accused to form the layout. It is false to suggest that, due to my negligence there is a delay in getting site. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely before the court against Accused.

Re-Examination : Nil

(Typed to my dictation in open Court).

R O I & A C

I ACMM, Bengaluru.