

-34-

Witness recalled an duly sworn on 08.09.2022.

Cross-Examination by Sri ADR for accused :

It is true to suggest that page no 69 snap shot of charge sheet does not show in and out time of office and the date. It is true to suggest that from page no. 74 to 76, there are 11 snap shots of computer and in page no. 69 it is mentioned only about 9 snap shots. Witness volunteers they might have considered some of two pages as single page. I do not know if page no. 75 and 76 do not depict date and time. It is true to suggest that page no. 75 and 76 do not contain signature of Accused. I do not know if page no. 74 to 76 does not contain any matter pertaining to Email of A2. Snap shots do not have in and out time and date. I am not sure if the snap shots captured from page no. 74 to 76 are not relevant to period from July 2007 to March 2013. It is true to suggest that page no. 44, 70, 76, 101 and 102 screen shots are similar. Witness volunteers it pertains to all Accused. It discloses names of all persons at right side of table. I am sure if Mrunal Singh and Accused persons have not signed said documents. Witness

-35-

answers this after seeing the document. It is true to suggest that page no. 44 is blank at the bottom and my verification signature is put with seal.

At this stage further cross examination of witness deferred as per request of counsel.

(Typed to my dictation in open Court).

R O I & A C

I ACMM, Bengaluru.