

**Witness present and duly sworn on 20.11.2025**  
**Cross examination by Suma Advocate for Respondent no.2:-**

My son was riding the motorcycle at the time of accident. My son and his friend Adithya were traveling on the motorcycle. My sister in law is the RC owner of the Yamaha two wheeler. We have not claimed any death benefits from insurer of the motorcycle. The accident occurred when my son was going to his college. I saw my son in the hospital. When I visited to Govt hospital, my son was alive, he succumbed. while shifting from Govt hospital to V.P. Magnus hospital.

2. The public had informed about accident over phone. Adithya was traveling as a pillion rider with my son. Both rider and pillion rider died. The width of the alleged road was about 25ft. It is true to suggest that I have not produced rough sketch before this court. I do not know the personal knowledge about the manner of the accident, however the public informed me that my son was proceeding from Kunigal road to Nelamangala side, at that time the offending Tipper lorry came from one farm land and dashed to the my son's motorcycle.

3. It is true to suggest that accident occurred on the road and not on the farm land. It is true to suggest that the roads which are shown in Ex.P-6 are related to NH-75 road. It is true to suggest that as per Ex.P-7 IMV report,

both the vehicles sustained front damages. It is false to suggest that my son came wrong side in a one way road, thereby causing the accident and both vehicles sustained front portion damages.

4. I do not know as per Ex.P-9 my son sustained head injuries. It is false to suggest that if my son worn the helmet, he would not get head injuries. I do not know in Ex.P-3 spot mahazar did not mention about seizure of the helmet. It is false to suggest that the accident occurred due to my son's negligence.

5. The complaint lodged by my nephew Anjanamurthy. I do not have any documents regarding expenses were made towards funeral and medical as well as transportation. My son was a student of 1<sup>st</sup> B.A. Siddaganga college. I am not doing job and am at home. I am not an income tax assess e. I am a driver. My wife is a home maker. It is false to suggest that the offending lorry was not involved in the alleged accident, however, the accident occurred solely due to negligence on the part of my son.

**Re-examination: Nil.**

**(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).**

**R.O.I. & A.C.**

**IIAddl. Judge**