

WITNESS IS PRESENT AND DULY SWORN ON : 06.03.2026

Cross Examination by Sri.GSS Advocate for R2 /

Insurance company :

- 1) I have not given treatment to the petitioner at any point of time and I have not issued any wound certificate to the petitioner herein.
- 2) While assessing the disability of the petitioner I have only seen the photo copies of the Discharge Summary pertaining to petitioner Bhaskar. On 19.12.2025 I have assessed the disability of the petitioner.
- 3) The petitioner met with an accident on 22.06.2024.
- 4) It is true to suggest that I have assessed the disability of the petitioner after almost 1 ½ years from the date of accident.
- 5) It is false to suggest that **no** accident had taken place on 22.06.2024 as I stated.

- 6) I do not have any personal knowledge about the avocation and income of the petitioner after the occurrence of the accident and till he visited me for assessment of disability.
- 7) I do not have any personal information that whether the petitioner had met with any other accident for the period after 22.06.2024 and before 19.12.2025.
- 8) The witness answers to the effect that "It all depends upon the nature of the injury" when it was suggested to the witness by the advocate for the Respondent No.2 to the effect that "if an injury is inflicted on the other existing injury which is in the healing process, then, the old injury gets aggravated".
- 9) It is false to suggest that whenever a person sustains injuries like the one which

are mentioned in the wound certificate / Ex.P.7 the said injuries will not cause any disability or permanent physical impairment as mentioned in my Affidavit filed in lieu of my examination in chief.

- 10) The details such as how did I assess and what procedures I had followed while assessing the disability of the petitioner are mentioned in my report.
- 11) It is false to suggest that I have not produced any documents to show the procedure I adopted while assessing the disability.
- 12) It is false to suggest that Ex.P.14 does not contain any official seal and signatures.
- 13) It is false to suggest that I have created Ex.P.12 to Ex.P.16 only with an intention to help the petitioner.
- 14) It is false to suggest that what I have

stated in my Affidavit about the so called problems of the petitioner are all imaginary.

15) It is false to suggest that if really the petitioner had been suffering from the injuries mentioned in the Ex.P.12 to P.16, then, it would not have been possible for the petitioner to come and give evidence before this court.

16) It is false to suggest that without seeing the documents and without seeing the patient I have given the false report.

17) It is false to suggest that the petitioner does not have any problem as I am projecting, and the health condition of the petitioner is normal. It is false to suggest that I have not produced anything to show that what procedure I have adopted while assessing the disability of the petitioner.

18) It is false to suggest that only with an intention to help the petitioner I have filed false Affidavit in lieu of my examination in chief and I am giving false evidence before this court.

Cross examination by R1:

R1 is exparte. Hence cross examination by R1 is taken as nil.

Re-examination : Nil.

(Computerized as per my dictation in open court)

R.O.I.&.A.C.

CHIEF JUDGE,
Court of Small Causes,
Bengaluru.