

Witness present and duly sworn on 25.11.2025
Cross examination by Sri.MEM advocate for Respondent no.2:-

I have not treated the petitioner. I have seen only discharge summary and wound certificate. I have not taken opinion from treated doctor to assess the disability. It is false to suggest that normally this type of injuries takes three months to heal. Fractures are united with implants in situ. It is false to suggest that the petitioner is not suffering any complains as mentioned in para-5 of my chief affidavit. It is true to suggest that normally the complains will be mentioned in the follow up records. It is false to suggest that I have not seen the follow up records, old x-rays and physiotherapy records. I do not know whether the petitioner has taken physiotherapy or not.

2. It is true to suggest that the petitioner can walk without any support. It is true to suggest that the petitioner has no difficulties to carryout his day to day activities. It is true to suggest that the petitioner is 30 years old. It is false to suggest that I have assessed the disability on the higher side in order to help the petitioner. It is true to suggest that the mobility and stability component are inter dependent to each other. It is false to suggest that the petitioner is not having any deformity. It is false to suggest that since the mobility and stability component are inter dependent with each other,

one component should be taken into consideration to assess the disability.

3. It is false to suggest that range of movements cannot be assessed exactly when the implants are in situ. It is a time for removal of implants. I do not know the occupation of the petitioner. It is false to suggest that as petitioner is a young age, therefore in future there is no difficulty will arise. It is true to suggest that the petitioner does not require any future surgery for fracture healing.

4. It is false to suggest that if I followed strict guidelines of Central Govt, the question of disability does not arise. It is false to suggest that without examination the petitioner, I assessed the disability on my assumption to help the petitioner. It is false to suggest that I have got created ExP-13 & 14. It is false to suggest that I assessed the disability at 47% left lower limb and whole body at 16% to help the petitioner. It is false to suggest that 1/4th of extremity is the whole body disability. It is false to suggest that in order to help the petitioner, I am deposing falsehood before this court by filing this false affidavit.

Re-examination: Nil-

(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).

R.O.I. & A.C.

II Addl. Judge

