

WITNESS IS PRESENT AND DULY SWORN ON: 21.02.2026
Chief Examination by Smt.NP Advocate for Petitioner:

1. Today I have filed my affidavit in lieu of my examination in chief. The contents of my affidavit are true and correct. My Affidavit, filed in lieu of my examination in chief, has been prepared by me.
2. I have produced the Outpatient record and Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and Neuro Psychological Assessment Report and CT-Brain(Plain) pertaining to patient by name Sharfuddin. The said documents are marked as **Ex.P16, Ex.P17, Ex.P18 and Ex.P19** respectively.

Note: Ex.P16 is consisting of 3 sheets, and Ex.P18 is consisting of 2 sheets.

Cross Examination by Sri MR Advocate for R2 :

3. It is true to suggest that I have not given any treatment to the petitioner at any point of time.
4. It is true to suggest that at the time of assessing the disability of the said petitioner I have not taken the opinion of the Doctor who had given treatment to the petitioner.
5. The petitioner has orally informed me that he had taken follow-up treatment, however, he did not show any documents in that connection.
6. I have narrated about the events, in chronological order which lead the petitioner to take treatment, only on the basis of the information available in medical records such as discharge summaries.
7. It is true to suggest that I am not competent to say anything about the Orthopaedic problem or disability of the petitioner, however, since such

information to the effect that “the petitioner had suffered fracture of right Tibia and right Fibula and nasal bone fractures” and simply I have reproduced the same in my notes, on the other hand I have not assessed the locomotor disability of the petitioner.

8. It is false to suggest that there is no problem for the petitioner to re-start his profession of driving.
9. It is false to suggest that I have not extensively examined the petitioner, and only with an intention to help the petitioner I am falsely saying that the petitioner has got problems such as memory loss, dizziness(giddiness), head-ache.
10. It is false to suggest that only with an intention to help the petitioner, in MMSE form, I have given more extent of problem than the actual extent of problem of the petitioner.

11. On the basis of the observations made through CT-Brain Scanning the Ex.P19 has been prepared.
12. Only with an intention to help the petitioner, I am exaggerating the things and falsely saying that the IQ level of the petitioner is 88 and IDEAS is 09.
13. It is false to suggest that even in Ex.P18 also, under IDEAS (Indian Disability Evaluation and Assessment Scale) I have given more points than the actual problem of the petitioner.
14. It is true to that I have not issued any disability certificate to the petitioner.

Cross examination by R1:

R1 Exparte. Hence cross examination by R1 is taken as nil.

Re-examination : Nil.

(Computerized as per my dictation in open court)

R.O.I.&.A.C.

CHIEF JUDGE,
Court of Small Causes,
Bengaluru.