

WITNESS IS DULY SWORN ON: 27.01.2026

Cross examination by advocate for Respondent No.2:

It is true to suggest that I have not treated the petitioner personally. The petitioner was operated. The petitioner availed treatment at Manipal and Dhanvanthari Hospitals. I have seen only discharge summaries. It is true to suggest that the petitioner got admitted to Dhanvanthari Hospital on 22.11.2023 and discharged on 28.11.2023. It is true to suggest that the petitioner was stable at the time of discharged. I have advised for implant removal and reconstruction of foot. I have not given any estimation for future treatment. It is true to suggest that the petitioner has not been advised to take future treatment by Dhanvanthari Hospital. I have not produced radiological report. I have not seen the old X-rays. There is no infection at fracture sight. I have not mentioned duration for union of fractures in my affidavit. It is true to suggest that the petitioner is 14 years old. It is false to suggest that due to tender age of petitioner, there are possibilities of union

of fracture quickly. It is false to suggest that there is no permanent disability caused to the petitioner due to his tender age. The fractures are mal united.

The petitioner will be able to do his routine activities with difficulty. It is false to suggest that there is no loss of muscle power. It is false to suggest that I have not examine the petitioner for assessing the disability. It is false to suggest that there will be no disability for petitioner and the one stated by me is imaginary. I have not issued any disability certificate. I have not referred the petitioner to medical board. It is false to suggest that I am not a competent to assess the disability. It is false to suggest that in order to help the petitioner I have assessed the disability at higher side and deposing falsely.

(Computerized to my dictation in Open Court.)

R.O.I. & A.C.,

I ADDL.SCJ & ACJM.,