

WITNESS IS DULY SWORN ON: 27.01.2026

Cross examination by advocate for Respondent No.2:

It is true to suggest that I have not treated the petitioner personally. The petitioner was treated conservatively. It is true to suggest that the petitioner is tender aged. I have seen the discharge summary and wound certificate of petitioner No.1. The fractures are united. It is true to suggest that the disability of petitioner No.1 will not obstruct the education of petitioner No.1. It is true to suggest that the disability varies in the due course of time due to tender age. The petitioner will be able to do his routine activities with difficulty. It is false to suggest that there is no loss of muscle power. It is false to suggest that I have not examine the petitioner for assessing the disability. It is true to suggest that the course of treatment is completed and there is no necessity of further treatment. It is false to suggest that there will be no disability for petitioner and the one stated by me is imaginary. I have not issued any disability

certificate. I have not referred the petitioner to medical board. It is false to suggest that I am not a competent to assess the disability. It is false to suggest that in order to help the petitioner I have assessed the disability at higher side and deposing falsely.

(Computerized to my dictation in Open Court.)

R.O.I. & A.C.,

I ADDL.SCJ & ACJM.,