

**Witness present and duly sworn on 23.01.2026**  
**Cross examination by Sri.KP advocate for Respondent no.2:-**

I have not treated the petitioner. I have not taken opinion from treated doctor to assess the disability. It is true to suggest that generally the complains will be mentioned in the follow up records. I have assessed the physical disability and not function disability. It is true to suggest that Fractures of both the petitioners are united. It is false to suggest that the contents of para-5 & 6 are my imagination.

2. It is true to suggest that both patients took conservative treatment and they did not undergo any surgery. It is true to suggest that elbow and wrist movements and muscle are normal. It is false to suggest that since fractures are united, therefore there will be no restrictions of movement or loss of power in the shoulder. I have seen only the medical records of both the petitioners. It is true to suggest that except clavicle fracture, the petitioners had not sustained any other injuries. It is false to suggest that clavicle bone is a ornamental bone, therefore the question of disabilities do not arise.

3. It is false to suggest that the difficulties which I have stated in para-8(c) of my chief affidavit are false, I falsely stated only to help the petitioners. It is false to suggest that in order to help the petitioners, though the petitioners do not have any disabilities, I have assessed false disability. It is false to suggest that in order to help the petitioners to get higher compensation, I

*SCCH-13*  
*MVC 8359/2023*  
*C/w MVC 8360/2023*  
*PW-3*

assessed disability on the higher side and am deposing falsehood before this court by filing this false affidavit.

**Re-examination: Nil-**

**(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).**

**R.O.I. & A.C.**

**II Addl. Judge**