

Witness present and duly sworn on 15.11.2025

Cross examination by Advocate for Petitioner.

(As the Pw1 do not know Kannada and English, his evidence given in Telugu is translated by Sri.SNN advocate)

I have sustained a fracture of left hand, thereby I stay at home without doing any work. I have two male children. Deceased is my elder son. He was a bachelor. I am a resident of Chittoor. My son had DL, however, he lost his DL while accident. I can produce DL extract. Motorcycle on which my son was proceeding belongs to his friend. I had not seen the accident. When my son was in the hospital, my brother and son had informed me about accident over phone. They had seen the accident. The accident occurred during a period of 7.20 p.m. to 7.30p.m.

2. In the alleged incident place, there are street lights. The alleged road is double high way road. There is no divider between roads, only white lines are there. My son had been alone. My son and my brother told that while they were going behind of my deceased son, the accident occurred. It is true to suggest that as per IMV report, the front portion of motorcycle sustained damages. It is true to suggest that the police have seized the car. It is false to suggest that as per police documents, the car right side door and rear side of tail-amp sustained damages. Witness voluntary states that only right side door of car sustained damages.

3. It is false to suggest that accident occurred in the middle of the road. It is true to suggest that five persons in three motorcycles were proceeding with my deceased son. It is true to suggest that they were going to attend the funeral of our relative. I am not aware they were talking together and traveling. It is false to suggest that as per police documents, there were no street lights in the alleged place. I am not aware that no one wearing helmets at the time of accident.

4. It is false to suggest that my son did not have experience of operating of vehicle, and he did not possess DL at the time of accident. It is false to suggest that my son was proceeding at high speed and he himself collided the right side of car door and fell down. It is true to suggest that my son succumbed due to head injuries. It is true to suggest that my son did not wear the helmet, therefore he sustained head injuries.

5. It is false to suggest that accident occurred due to negligence on the part of my son and not on the part of driver of offending car and it was self accident. It is true to suggest that accident occurred in Chittoor district and we are the resident of Chittoor district and the owner of involvement vehicles are also resident of Chittoor district. It is false to suggest that in order to conceal the material facts, we have filed this petition before Bengaluru instead of Chittoor court.

6. It is false to suggest that my deceased son was a student and not engaged in any work as I stated in my petition and chief affidavit. It is false to suggest that nowhere in the police documents mentioned about avocation of my deceased son. We do not have any agricultural land. I do not have any documents to substantiate avocation and income of my son. It is false to suggest that we did not spent Rs.1,00,000/-towards medicines and Rs.50,000/-towards transportation and funeral expenses.

7. It is false to suggest that my son was not working and he did not have any income as I stated. It is false to suggest that we were not dependent upon the income of deceased.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).

R.O.I. & A.C.

IIAddl. Judge

Translator: