

Witness present and duly sworn on 19.11.2025
Cross examination by Sri.DNMG Advocate for
Respondent no..

I have five children. Four female and one male. It is true to suggest that petitioner no.2 to 5 have got married and they have settled in their husbands' house. My son came to Bidadi to my daughter's house. I have not seen the accident. My son in law had lodged the complaint. The alleged road is a double road. I do not know the width of the said road. It is false to suggest that though there was a footpath, my son was going in the middle of the road, thereby accident occurred. It is false to suggest that accident occurred due to my son's negligence.

2. It is false to suggest that the accident did not occur due to negligence on the part of offending lorry. My son in law had informed me that the alleged accident caused by the offending lorry. My son was doing agricultural activities in Kanagiina hala. We have five acres agricultural land. We grow groundnuts, onion and jowar. It is false to suggest that now I have been continuing the said agricultural activities. I have not produced any documents to substantiate avocation of my son. It is false to suggest that I deposed falsehood regarding avocation and income of Rs.30,000/- per month.

3. It is false to suggest that we were not depending upon the income of the deceased. It is true to suggest that my son was a bachelor. It is false to suggest that age of my son was

more than 41 years. It is false to suggest that petitioner no.2 to 5 were not depending upon the income of deceased. It is false to suggest that in order to get compensation, I have got created the documents and am deposing falsehood before this court by filing this false affidavit. It is false to suggest that the accident did not occur due to negligence on the part of offending lorry, therefore we are not entitle to get compensation from the insurance company.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).

R.O.I. & A.C.

IIAddl. Judge