

Witness present and duly sworn on 30.07.2025

Cross examination by Sri.MAP Advocate for Respondent:--.

I studied 7th standard. I do have knowledge regarding averments of my chief affidavit. It is true to suggest that I lodged the complaint after one day of the incident. I can produce MLC before this court. As on date of accident I was proceeding from K.R. Road to National College. The width of alleged road is about 30ft. There is no divider. It is true to suggest that the white stripes put in between alleged road. At the time of accident, the motorcycle was having effective insurance policy. I can produce insurance policy and RC of the motorcycle.

2. It is true to suggest that as per Ex.P-4 the accident occurred at the spot where the four roads connects. I was coming from west to east as per sketch. It is false to suggest that as per Ex.P-4 rough sketch, I was going towards right side of the road without following the traffic rules. I know the traffic rules as per IMV Act. It is true to suggest that as per IMV Act, only two persons should travel on the motorcycle.

3. It is true to suggest that at the time of accident we were traveling four persons on the motorcycle. Witness voluntary states that I, my wife and my children aged about 12 and 7 years were traveling on the motorcycle. My son was sitting back side of me. It is true to suggest that my son was

not sitting back of me and was sitting front of me. It is false to suggest that I violated the traffic rules and we were traveling four persons on the motorcycle. It is false to suggest that if four persons were traveling on the motorcycle, there would less chances to control balance of the motorcycle It is false to suggest that I was unable to control my motorcycle and I dashed against the offending car. It is false to suggest that we were not wearing helmet at the time of accident.

4. It is true to suggest that as per E.xP-7 the accident occurred head on collision. It is false to suggest that I was not going within the prescribed line and prescribed speed, myself dashed against the offending car, thereby the accident occurred. It is false to suggest that my son was sitting front of me, due to lack of visibility, myself dashed against the offending car and there was no negligence on the part of driver of offending car. It is false to suggest that I in active collusion with police, I falsely implicated the offending vehicle.

5. It is true to suggest that today I came to court by bike. I came today by riding myself to the court. I run scrap business, today I shut down the said shop to come here. I do not have license and bank statement to substantiate that I run scrap business and earning Rs.40,000/- per month. It is false to suggest that I falsely stated I was earning Rs.40,000/- per month. I do not know exactly how much earn this month

because I have not calculated. I will calculate my earning tomorrow i.e., 31.07.2025.

6. It is false to suggest that accidental injuries did not effect my income in any manner. I did not insure under health insurance. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred Rs.3,00,000/-towards medical expenses. It is true to suggest that some of medical bills do not bear seal and signature of concerned hospital. It is false to suggest that I have got created medical bill for this case. It is false to suggest that the accident has not occurred due to negligence on the part of driver of offending vehicle rather it occurred due to my negligence.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).

R.O.I. & A.C.

IIAddl. Judge