

Witness present and duly sworn on 24.02.2026
Cross examination by Sri.MEM advocate for Respondent no.2:-

I have not treated the petitioner. I have seen only discharge summary and wound certificate. I have not taken opinion from treated doctor to assess the disability. Normally this type of injuries takes two months to heal. Injuries are healed. It is false to suggest that the petitioner is not suffering any complains as mentioned in para-5 of my chief affidavit. It is true to suggest that normally the complains will be mentioned in the follow up records. It is false to suggest that I have not seen the follow up records, old x-rays and physiotherapy records. I do not know whether the petitioner has taken physiotherapy or not. It is true to suggest that petitioner did not sustain any fracture. It is false to suggest that the petitioner was treated conservatively, therefore the question of disability does not arise.

2. It is true to suggest that the petitioner can walk without any support. It is true to suggest that the petitioner has no difficulties to carryout his day to day activities. It is false to suggest that as petitioner is 21 years old, the present disability will not cause for his future occupation. It is false to suggest that I have assessed the disability on the higher side in order to help the petitioner. It is true to suggest that the mobility and stability component are inter dependent to

each other. It is false to suggest that the petitioner is not having any deformity. It is false to suggest that since the mobility and stability component are inter dependent with each other, one component should be taken into consideration to assess the disability. It is false to suggest that in order to help the petitioner, I have got created the documents and am deposing falsehood before this court by filing this false affidavit.

Re-examination: Nil-

(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).

R.O.I. & A.C.

II Addl. Judge