

Witness present and duly sworn on 14.11.2025
Cross examination by Sri.VDJ Advocate for Respondent no.2:-

As a team doctor, I have treated the petitioner. The petitioner was admitted five times in our hospital. All times, as a team doctor, I had given treatment to the petitioner. While marking the Ex.P-24, I have produced original documents for comparison before this court. I do not know where the final bills. I have produced case sheet and discharge summary before this court. The final bills might be in accounts department of our hospital. I can produce IP bills of the petitioner after getting from accounts department.

2. Immediately after accident the petitioner did not come to our hospital, he came to our hospital in the year 2023, therefore we have not recorded the MLC. It is true to suggest that I have not assessed the percentage of disability suffered by the petitioner. It is false to suggest that I have examined the petitioner neither radiological nor clinical before deposing the evidence. It is true to suggest that the petitioner admitted to our hospital only to take treatment for physical medicine and Rehabilitation.

3. It is true to suggest that the petitioner did not undergo any surgery in our hospital. The petitioner has not completely improve RANCHO LOS AMIGOS stage-IV. During August 2025, I had examined the petitioner. There is no drastic improvement

from 1st admission to last admission. It is false to suggest that I have deposed falsehood in para-3 & 4 of my chief affidavit. It is false to suggest that I have deposed falsehood regarding tracheostomy stated in para-4 of my chief affidavit. It is false to suggest that the petitioner is mentally and physically capable and he is not dependent on others as I stated in para-4 of my chief affidavit. It is false to suggest that the petitioner did not undergo any follow up treatment. Since 2023 he has been coming for follow up treatment for our hospital, I do not know about other hospitals.

4. I have produced radiological and clinical notes before this court. It is true to suggest that I have not mentioned about the disability suffered by the petitioner in my radiological and clinical notes. It is false to suggest that I have not followed any Central Govt guidelines while examining the petitioner. It is false to suggest that in order to help the petitioner to get compensation, I am deposing falsehood before this court by filing this false affidavit.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).

R.O.I. & A.C.

II Addl. Judge

