

Witness present and duly sworn on 06.09.2025
Further cross examination by Sri.VDJ Advocate for
Respondent no.3:-

Jagadeesh and Maruthi Chowdhary neither our relatives nor friends nor the colleagues of my husband. Ashwadh hospital doctors neither attended my husband nor given any first aid treatment, they said that we do not have any equipments, thereby he shifted to St.John's hospital. He underwent inpatient treatment in St.John's hospital for four days, thereafter we shifted to Apollo hospital. My husband did not undergo any surgery in St.John's hospital. My husband underwent inpatient treatment in Apollo hospital for 20days. As he did not sustain any external injuries, therefore he took only conservative treatment and not underwent any surgeries

There is no facilities of rehabilitation in Apollo hospital, therefore we took him Jain hospital for rehabilitation treatment. For better treatment we shifted my husband from St.John's hospital to Apollo hospital. It is false to suggest that neither St.John's hospital nor Apollo hospitals have provided good treatment to my husband. Nearly 7months, my husband underwent inpatient rehabilitation treatment in Jain hospital and again he underwent inpatient rehabilitation treatment for 1½ months. It is false to suggest that though I have not produced any documents with regard to inpatient treatment in

Jain hospital and deposing falsehood before this court to that effect.

It is true to suggest that as per Ex.P-5, my husband took only three days treatment in Jain hospital. Witness voluntary states that after taking nine months treatment in Jain hospital for ear issue, again he admitted in Jain hospital. My husband also underwent rehabilitation treatment in CMC Vellore hospital. Presently(from 15days) my husband is undergoing rehabilitation treatment in CMC Vellore hospital. It is false to suggest that though my husband has neither undergone follow up treatment nor rehabilitation treatment, I am deposing falsehood before this court to that effect.

We reimbursed Rs.10,00,000/- through Medi-claim from my husband's company health insurance. It is false to suggest that I have not produced any documents before this court to substantiate regarding exact amount of reimbursement through Medi-claim. It is false to suggest that we were reimbursed entire medical bills from Medi-claim. It is false to suggest that all pharmacy bills are included with main bill.

It is true to suggest that Ex.P-12 at serial no.101 & 102 CMC Vellore hospital is a statement and not a final bill. Witness voluntary states that it is a consolidated bill. It is true to suggest that in Ex.P-12 serial no.101 & 102 stated that it is not considered as a bill. Witness voluntary states that I will produce original payment bill along with receipts before this court on next date of hearing. It is false to suggest that I reimbursed entire medical bills of CMC Vellore hospital from Medi-claim, thereby I have not produced

original bills before this court. It is false to suggest that in order to get higher compensation, I have got created medical bills for this case. It is false to suggest that I falsely stated in para-12 of my chief affidavit that my husband was working in G A appliances and was drawing gross salary of Rs.1,37,929/- and net salary of Rs.1,14,997/-per month. It is false to suggest that I have got created Ex.P-7 & 8 for this case. I can examine the employer of the petitioner. I can produce ITR for the subsequent year of 2021-22.

It is false to suggest that my husband recovered from his injuries and he is not facing any difficulties and leads normal life, even though I am deposing falsehood before this court by filing this false affidavit. At the time of accident, age of my husband was 41 years. It is false to suggest that at the time of accident, age of my husband was more than 45 years. It is false to suggest that the medical bills which I have stated in para-13 & 15 are imaginary figures. It is false to suggest that in order to get more compensation, we wrongly calculated by taking pharmacy bills with final bills in para-13 & 15 of my chief affidavit. It is false to suggest that I falsely stated in para-14 of my chief affidavit about expenditures.

It is false to suggest that accident occurred due to negligence on the part of petitioner, in active collusion with police, we have got created false documents against the offending vehicle. It is false to suggest that in order to get compensation, I am deposing falsehood before this court by filing this false affidavit. It is true to suggest that the advance bills were deducted in the final bill.

It is false to suggest that while calculation the total medical bills, we have included the advance bills.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).

R.O.I. & A.C.

IIAddl. Judge