

Witness present and duly sworn on 23.11.2023

Cross examination by Sri.VRM advocate for Respondent no.2:-

I was riding the motorcycle alone. I was going from Tanisandra towards Chikkaballapura. The car was coming behind me. I was unconscious and I do not know who took me to the hospital. The driver of the car didn't attend me and didn't give any medical assistance. I got complete conscious after 15days. I had sustained head injury even though I was wearing helmet. It is false to suggest that I was not wearing helmet and hence I had sustained head injury.

2. I was unconscious and I do not know why the complaint was delayed. It is true that the public who had seen the accident and taken me to the hospital and they have given the history of the accident. I can produce the MLC from the hospital.

3. Front portion of the motorcycle was damaged. It is false to suggest that the accident has not occurred in the manner stated in my petition and affidavit. It is false to suggest that the accident has occurred by some other vehicle and hence the complaint was delayed. It is false to suggest that by colluding with 1st Respondent and police false complaint was filed belatedly. It is false to suggest that in order to get the compensation, all the records are created by colluding with police.

4. I was in Life care hospital for three days and in Manasa hospital for 15days. The injury is on the right leg, no operation was done. I have taken treatment for head injury in Manasa hospital. I

was not admitted for second time. I have been taking follow up treatment in every 15 days. I have all the OPD records and I can produce it. It is false to suggest that I have falsely stated that I have spent Rs.2,00,000/- for treatment and other expenses. It is false to suggest that I am normal as earlier and deposing false. It is false to suggest that I do not have any difficulties as stated in para-4 of my affidavit.

5. My date of birth is 25.08.1990. I was doing agriculture. The agriculture was based on monsoon. It is false to suggest that I was not earning Rs.20,000/- per month. It is false to suggest that the accidental injuries have not caused any adverse affect either in my occupation and the other activities. It is false to suggest that I do not have compensation.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).

R.O.I. & A.C.

IIAddl. Judge