

WITNESS PRESENT AND DULY SWORN ON:30.08.2023**FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR ACCUSED :**

That as the accused is my nephew I knew him since his birth. The accused had one son and two daughters. It is false to suggest that the daughter of accused and my daughter are of same age. It is true to suggest that I have no enmity with the family of accused.

That when the accused is my relative and sought for huge amount usually the purpose for which amount is sought will be asked, but the accused did not intimate the purpose for which he wants amount. I did not ask for which purpose the accused wants amount. Before lending amount to the accused I did not inform to the wife and daughters of accused.

That I lend Rs.6,00,000/- to the accused from the amount obtained by selling the site. I do not remember the site number but it measures 20x30. I sold the said site in the year 2018, but I do not remember the date and month. It is true to suggest that I had not mentioned in my complaint that

after selling the site I gave amount to the accused. The said site was sold to the daughter of accused. I can produce the said documents. That after 03-04 months of selling the site I gave amount to the accused.

It is false to suggest that I do not knew the contents of notice and complaint. It is false to suggest that in my complaint and notice I have stated that I and the accused are friends. It is true to suggest that there was no impediment for me to state that the accused is my relative in the notice and the complaint.

Q. That in whose name the site situated at R.R. Nagar idol homes belongs to?

Ans : I have not purchase the said home.

It is true to suggest that after selling the site to daughter of accused I had purchased another site situated at Rajarajeshwari Nagar. I do not remember the measurement of said site. The said site was purchased for a sum of Rs.21,87,000/-. The said site was purchased in my name, I do not know the name of seller of said site. That after one

year of selling site to daughter of accused I had purchased another site. I cannot produce the said documents. I cannot say the site number. That I have no impediment to produce the documents but I am not willing to produce the said documents to the Court.

That I withdraw the amount on 07.12.2018 to give to the accused. That as per the request of accused to give the amount by way of cash, I gave it by withdrawing the amount. That I knew one R. Shivalinga who is the "ಬೇಗರು" of accused. It is true to suggest that there was transaction in between my self and said R. Shivalinga with respect to selling of site to daughter of accused. I do not remember when my account was opened.

That I am aware about bank transaction. I do not know that on 04.12.2018 a sum of Rs.1,96,000/- was withdrawn by R. Shivalinga from my account. The Ex.P11 was marked in order to show the amount given to the accused. That one U. Savitha was the seller of site purchased by me. It is true to

suggest that on 11.12.2018 a sum of Rs.9,00,000/- was withdrawn by U. Savitha.

It is true to suggest that at the time of purchasing site from U. Savitha I gave some amount by way of cash and also through DD and cheque.

**At this stage further cross examination is deferred
at the request.**

(Computerised to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I & A.C.

C/c. JUDGE (SCCH-09)
Bengaluru.