

WITNESS IS DULY SWORN ON: 06.07.2026

Cross examination by advocate for Respondent No.2:

It is true to suggest that I have not treated the petitioner personally. For the suggestion that the fracture are united, the witness states that part of the bone has been removed and as such, it cannot be stated that the fracture is united. I have not taken opinion from the treated doctor. I have assessed the disability based on wound certificate, discharge summary and my examination.

It is true to suggest that the petitioner would have taken further treatment if he was having problems as stated by me. I have not seen follow-up treatment records. It is false to suggest that the petitioner is not having any problems as stated by me. I have not mentioned the guidelines on which I have made calculation. It is false to suggest that I have not assessed the disability as per guidelines. I have not assessed the functional disability. It is false to suggest that in order to help the petitioner

I have assessed the disability at higher side and deposing falsely.

(Computerized to my dictation in Open Court.)

R.O.I. & A.C.,

I ADDL.SCJ & ACJM.,