

C/w MVC 5043/2022

PW- 1

Witness present and duly sworn on 15.11.2023
Cross examination by Sri.KP Advocate for
Respondent no2:-

I have not seen the accident. On the date of accident my husband was driving from Begur to Mysuru. I am aware of the documents produced by me. It is true that the complaint and FIR is against my husband. It is true that the first information statement was given by an eye witness. It is true that in the FIR and complaint it is not mentioned that the offending lorry was parked without any indication. It is false to suggest that the accident has occurred in the manner explained by me in para-3 of my affidavit.

2. I saw my husband at hospital mortuary. My mother in law was there in the car with my husband. She has given statement to the police about this accident. It is false to suggest that the entire negligence was of my husband and by thinking that we will not get compensation, by colluding with police false charge sheet has been filed also against the driver of Eicher vehicle.

3. My husband's car was insured. It was insured with New India Assurance company. It is true that we have

C/w MVC 5043/2022

PW- 1

received compensation of Rs.15,00,000/- under personal accident coverage. We have also claimed own damages. My husband was working in New India Assurance company. His salary was paid to his bank account. I am not aware of the group insurance policy of my husband. We have received about Rs.10,00,000/- of death benefit from his company. I can examine the author of Ex.P-12. We are not receiving any pension till date. My husband had the benefit of NPS. It is false to suggest that we have not spent Rs.2,50,000/- for treatment, funeral and other expenses. My husband was the income tax assesse. It is false to suggest that I have falsely stated that my husband was receiving salary of Rs.70,000/- per month. My husband's date of birth is 20.07.1987.

4. My in laws had two children. The daughter had died prior to my husband. It is true that police have filed charge sheet against my husband. It is false to suggest that since the accident was due to the negligence of my husband, Respondents are not liable to pay any compensation. It is false to suggest that Ex.P-12 is created for the purpose of this petition. It is false to suggest that in order to get

C/w MVC 5043/2022

PW- 1

compensation, I am deposing false by filing created documents.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).

R.O.I. & A.C.

IIAddl. Judge