

WITNESS IS DULY SWORN ON: 30.06.2026

Cross examination by advocate for Respondent No.3:

It is true to suggest that I have not treated the petitioner personally. It is true to suggest that the fractures are united. It is true to suggest that the petitioner is treated conservatively. The age of petitioner is 61 years. It is false to suggest that the disability is due to the age factor of petitioner. I have not taken opinion from the treated doctor. I have not seen the case sheet and follow-up records. I not issued any disability certificate. It is true to suggest that I am not a pul-monologist. It is false to suggest that I am not competent to assess the disability. It is false to suggest that the particular disability stated by me is to be taken as 1/3rd of whole body. The petitioner can do his day to day works with some difficulty. It is false to suggest that rib fracture will not give rise to any

disability. It is false to suggest that in order to help the petitioner I have assess the disability at higher side and deposing falsely.

(Computerized to my dictation in Open Court.)

R.O.I. & A.C.,

I ADDL.SCJ & ACJM.,