

WITNESS IS DULY SWORN ON: 12.03.2026

Cross examination by advocate for Respondent No.1:

It is true to suggest that I have not treated the petitioner personally. I have seen the discharge summary of petitioner. I have not taken the opinion from the treated doctor. I have not seen the follow-up records. It is true to suggest that the petitioner has not been operated and treated conservatively. It is true to suggest that fracture is united.

Generally the fracture in younger aged people will get healed early compared to old aged people. It is false to suggest that I have mentioned the complaints in para No.5 of my affidavit falsely. It is false to suggest that no disability will arise to the nature of fracture stated to have been sustained by petitioner. It is false to suggest that I have assessed the disability against the guidelines. I do not know the avocation of the petitioner. The petitioner is not having any difficulty in doing sitting works. It is false to suggest that

the petitioner is not having any problems as stated by me and I have not seen proper records. It is false to suggest that I am not a competent person to assess the disability. It is false to suggest that in order to help the petitioner I have assessed the disability at higher side and deposing falsely.

(Computerized to my dictation in Open Court.)

R.O.I. & A.C.,

I ADDL.SCJ & ACJM.,