

WITNESS PRESENT AND DULY SWORN ON : 11.10.2023.

Cross Examination by Sri.VSN Advocate for R2:

- 1) I was doing coolie work. There is no document in this regard. It is true to suggest that in the statement given by me before the police, I have stated that I am a housewife. It is false to suggest that the road on which I was moving is a State Highway. I do not know the width of the said road. Two vehicles can move at a time on the said road. The Motor Cycle on which I was moving belongs to my father. My younger brother was riding the said Motor Cycle on that day. It is false to suggest that at that time my younger brother was a minor. It is false to suggest that my younger brother had no DL. I can produce the DL before the Court.

- 2) It is true to suggest that 3 persons were moving on the Motor Cycle, i.e., myself, my younger brother and my son aged about 1 ½ year. It is false to suggest that my son was sitting on the tank of the Motor Cycle. For a suggestion that we 3 were not wearing helmets, witness answers that, rider was wearing the helmet and we both were not wearing helmets. It is true to suggest that accident took place in a curve leading towards Punganoor.

- 3) It is false to suggest that my brother by riding the Motor Cycle with high speed has fell down from the Motor Cycle at the curve. It is true to suggest that right side of the Motor Cycle has been damaged in accident. It is true to suggest that as per IMV Report no damages are caused to the Car. It is false to suggest that Car has not touched our Motor Cycle. It is false to suggest that after 2 days of the accident we lodged the complaint by stating that from opposite direction Car came with high speed and caused the accident. After one day of the accident my brother-in-law has lodged the complaint. It is false to suggest that accident took place due to the fault of my brother. It is false to suggest that as my brother was not having DL and as Motor Cycle was not having insurance, we lodged a false complaint against the driver of the Car which was moving from opposite direction. It is false to suggest that there is no connection between the Car and the accident.
- 4) After the accident I was shifted to R.L.Jalappa hospital, Kolar. As the doctors in the said hospital told, they cannot give the treatment, I was shifted to St.John's hospital, Bengaluru. I was treated by 7 doctors and I do not know their names. Dr.Prakash and Dr.Surya kiran have treated me. It is

false to suggest that I have not undergone any operation, but I was treated conservatively. My husband is a farmer. It is false to suggest that I have reimbursed the medical expenses through insurance.

- 5) It is false to suggest that St.John's hospital is a charitable hospital and I have been given discount in hospital charges. For a suggestion that, there are no prescriptions to some of the medical bills, witness answers that, some of the prescriptions are lost. It is false to suggest that by colluding with the hospital authority I have taken the medical bills for higher amount. For a suggestion that we have taken treatment in a hospital at Bengaluru, but we have produced the medical bills of Mulubagal, witness answers that, my son was treated at Mulubagal and medical bills are in respect of the said treatment. It is true to suggest that in the complaint I have stated that my son has sustained simple injuries. It is false to suggest that my son has not sustained any injuries in accident. It is false to suggest that I have raised the medical bills of Mulubagal pharmacy in my name and I have got created them for the purpose of this petition.
- 6) It is false to suggest that I was in the hospital at Bengaluru when the bills of Mulubagal medical shop are raised. It is

true to suggest that the pharmacy bills of Mulubagal are in respect of the dates after many months from my discharge. There are no prescriptions of St.John's in respect of the said pharmacy bills. It is false to suggest that there was no necessity to purchase medicines in the pharmacy of Mulubagal. It is false to suggest that I have not spent Rs.2,55,000/- towards medical bills, Rs.1,00,000/- towards pharmacy bills and Rs.20,000/- towards Lab charges.

- 7) It is false to suggest that the facts stated by me in para No.7 of my affidavit are all false. It is false to suggest that the difficulties stated by me in para No.8 of my affidavit are all false and all the injuries sustained by me are cured. It is true to suggest that I have been advised for removal of implants. It is false to suggest that I have deposed falsely that Rs.80,000/- is removal of implants. It is true to suggest that I have not produced any document in this regard. It is false to suggest that now I have no difficulties and I am normal as I was before.

Re-examination : Nil.

(Computerized as per my dictation in open court)

R.O.I.&.A.C.

CHIEF JUDGE,
Court of Small Causes,
Bengaluru.