

**WITNESS IS PRESENT AND DULY SWORN ON : 23.02.2026**

**Chief Examination by Sri.NM Advocate for  
Petitioner:**

1. Today I have filed my affidavit in lieu of my examination in chief. The contents of the affidavit are true and correct. My Affidavit, filed in lieu of my examination in chief, has been prepared by me.
  
2. I have produced the Outpatient record pertaining to injured person by name Chethan Aili. The said document is marked as **Ex.P.28.**

**Cross Examination by Smt.TNM Advocate for R2 /  
Insurance company :**

- 1) It is true to suggest that, in all, the injured person Chethan Aili had sustained two fracture injures, and out of them one injury was treated conservatively.
  
- 2) It is true to suggest that the fractured bones of the petitioner are united. When I

examined petitioner on 30.01.2026, by then the fractured bones of the petitioner had already been united.

- 3) It is true to suggest that except the loss of muscle strength, the petitioner has no other problems.
- 4) It is true to suggest that since the petitioner is only aged about 32 years, over a period of time even the muscle strength of the petitioner may also get improved.
- 5) It is true to suggest that except another surgery for implants removal, the petitioner does not require any other treatment.
- 6) It is true to suggest that I have shown 50% of the limb disability of the petitioner as the wholebody disability.
- 7) It is false to suggest that the petitioner has not sustained any disability at all,

**yet,** only with an intention to help the petitioner I have exaggerated the problem of the petitioner and I am falsely stating that the petitioner has sustained 8.13 percent of wholebody disability.

**Cross examination by R1:**

R1 is exparte. Hence cross examination by R1 is taken as nil.

**Re-examination : Nil.**

(Computerized as per my dictation in open court)

R.O.I.&.A.C.

**CHIEF JUDGE,**  
Court of Small Causes,  
Bengaluru.