

Witness present and duly sworn on 04.09.2025

**Cross examination by advocate for Respondent no.2:-
(As the Pw1 do not know Kannada and English, her
evidence given in Telugu and the same is translated by
Sri.KV advocate)**

Deceased is my son. He was a bachelor. I have four children. My elder son is residing separately. He resides next to my house. We are doing pipeline coolie work. The deceased was also doing the same coolie work. We get coolie Rs.1,000/- per day. We get coolie daily. It is false to suggest that I falsely stated that the deceased was earning Rs.1,000/- per day. I have produced the documents to substantiate that my deceased son was earning Rs.1,000/- per day.

2. At the time of accident, he went for coolie. My husband was also with my deceased son. While digging the mud, the offending vehicle came from back side and collided with my son. My husband had seen the accident. My elder son also with my deceased son at the time of accident. I can examine my elder son before this court as a witness.

3. It is true to suggest that we have received sum of Rs.50,000/-from the owner of the offending vehicle by executing an agreement. Witness voluntary states that the amount was paid by the owner to the third party, he did not give to us. It is false to suggest that in the agreement we have stated that while JCB was doing work, my son went near to that, thereby accident occurred due to negligence of my son

and we settle the matter by receiving Rs.50,000/-from the owner of the offending vehicle. It is false to suggest that though we have received Rs.50,000/-from the owner of the offending vehicle, I am deposing falsehood to that effect.

4. It is false to suggest that I falsely stated in my petition and chief affidavit, the accident occurred due to high speed and in a rash and negligent of the offending vehicle. It is false to suggest that the accident occurred due to my son's negligence, thereby we have received Rs.50,000/- from the owner of the offending vehicle. It is false to suggest that in order to get compensation, I have got created documents and am deposing falsehood before this court by filing this false affidavit. It is false to suggest that we already received compensation from the owner of the offending vehicle, therefore this petition is not maintainable.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).

R.O.I. & A.C.

IIAddl. Judge

Translator: