

Witness present and duly sworn on 17.02.2026
Examination in chief by Advocate for petitioner.

I have filed my Affidavit in lieu of my Chief-examination. The contents of the said Affidavit are true to the best of my knowledge and have affixed my signatures after going through its contents.

The documents that are produced before the court are marked as Exhibits as follows:-

Ex.P-35 Clinical notes

Ex.P-36 X-ray

Cross examination by Sri.KS advocate for Respondent no.2:-

I have not treated the petitioner. I have seen only case sheet of the petitioner. I have not taken opinion from initially treated doctor to assess the disability. Presently the fracture is united with implants in situ. I have seen the discharge summary of Unity life line hospital and people tree hospital I have seen the follow up records of people tree hospital. It is true to suggest that in the follow up records, there is no mentioned about range of movements and muscle power.

2. It is false to suggest that there is no fracture in ankle joint. It is false to suggest that implant is cause for restriction of movements. It is false to suggest that after removal of implants, disability will come down. I have not assessed the functional disability. I have not given estimation for removal of implants. It is false to suggest that there is no loss of muscle bulk or circulation at the time of accident.

3. I have not advised any future treatment for the petitioner. I have not issued disability certificate. It is false to suggest that I have assessed the disability at 41% to left lower limb and whole body disability of 14% at the higher side. It is false to suggest that in order to help the petitioner, I am deposing falsehood before this court by filing this false affidavit.

Re-examination: Nil-

(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).

R.O.I. & A.C.

II Addl. Judge