

**WITNESS IS PRESENT AND DULY SWORN ON : 31.10.2025**

**Cross Examination by Sri.KRK Advocate for R2 :**

- 1) It is true to suggest that I have only assessed the disability of the petitioner and I have not given any treatment to the petitioner by name Suresh Babu.
  
- 2) It is true to suggest that I have seen the petitioner / Suresh Babu for the first time in my life on 28.08.2025.
  
- 3) It is true to suggest that the fractured bones of said Suresh Babu are united. It is false to suggest that the complaints mentioned in para No.5 of my Affidavit will not get improved in future. It is true to suggest that the petitioner can do desk jobs.
  
- 4) It is false to suggest that I am exaggerating the percentage of disability of the petitioner. It is false to suggest that I have falsely stated that the petitioner

sustained disability of right lower limb to the tune of 48% and wholebody disability of 16%.

5) It is false to suggest that only with an intention to help the petitioner to get more compensation I have filed a false Affidavit before this court.

**Cross Examination by Advocate for R3:**

R3 and his Advocate absent. Hence, cross examination on behalf of R3 is taken as nil.

**Cross examination by R1:**

R1 is exparte. Hence cross examination by R1 is taken as nil.

**Re-examination : Nil.**

(Computerized as per my dictation in open court)

R.O.I.&.A.C.

**CHIEF JUDGE,**  
Court of Small Causes,  
Bengaluru.