

Duly sworn before me on 10-03-2026.

Cross-Examination by Sri.MMR advocate on behalf of Sri.ANH advocate for R1:

1. I do not remember exact date for entrust of work, but after accident I was entrusted with the work. It is true to suggest that as per Ex.P14 survey report was prepared on 18.12.2025. It is true to suggest that in Ex.P14 date of entrust of work has not been mentioned. It is false to suggest that generally surveyor prepares two reports firstly, initial report and secondly final report.

2. The owner of the vehicle had asked to conduct the survey before dismantling of car bearing registration No.KA-02-P-5953. The owner of the vehicle had orally entrusted the work. It is true to suggest that there is no mention of inspection date of vehicle bearing No.KA-02-P-5953. It is false to suggest that Ex.P17 photo also not disclosing the date of inspection. Witness voluntarily says that inspection of vehicle was conducted on 04.08.2022.

3. It is true to suggest that as per Ex.P14 vehicle was damaged from front and rear portion. It is true to suggest that on perusal of Ex.P17 front side bonnet and rear side dickey door were damaged, other portion of the car was intact. I was not seen IMV report prepared in Crime No.231/2022 of Mandya Rural PS. It is true to suggest that in accident three vehicles were involved.

4. It is true to suggest that front damages caused to the car due to hit to front going truck bearing No.HR-65-A-1018. It is false to suggest that as per IMV report the cost of damages is very less and to help the petitioner I have assessed on higher side. It is true to suggest I have included coolant oil and other oil expenses.

5. It is false to suggest that to accumulate compensation painting charges are included. I have not gone through the regular service of the car to show that car was roadworthy because the question does not arise as car come from accidental bodily damages. I have assessed car damages for the cost of Rs.1,46,000/-. It is true to suggest that as per Ex.P9 invoice price is Rs.1,52,000/-. It is false to suggest that car was not repaired as per my assessment report.

Cross-Examination by R2: Exparte.

Re-examination:Nil.

(Computerised to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I.& A.C

**III Addl. Senior Civil Judge & ACJM,
Court of Small Causes,
SCCH-18, Bengaluru.**