

Witness present and duly sworn on 18.12.2025
Cross examination by advocate for Respondent no.1:-

It is true to suggest that after thorough investigation, the police have filed charge sheet against our insured vehicle. Witness voluntary stated that false charge sheet has been filed against our insured vehicle. I do not know whether the criminal case is pending before jurisdictional or not. I do not know either the driver cum owner of our insured vehicle has not pleaded guilty or has contested the said case.

2. It is true to suggest that we have stated in para-8 of my chief affidavit that the driver was not holding DL at the time of accident.

Question: Whether have you produced any material before this court to substantiate that the driver was not holding DL at the time of accident?

Ans: We have examined RTO, K.R.Puram as RW-3 to substantiate to that effect.

3. We have not appointed any investigator to investigate the case, however, we appointed investigator to collect the police documents.

Question: Do you have knowledge about 30days grace period for extension of DL?

Ans: No.

4. It is false to suggest that at the time of accident, the driver of our insured vehicle had applied for extension of DL and he was under grace period of

extension of DL. It is false to suggest that I deposed falsehood as Respondent no.1 colluded with the petitioners. It is false to suggest that I deposed false allegation against the Respondent no.1 without any basis.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).

R.O.I. & A.C.

II Addl. Judge.

