

Witness present and duly sworn on 13.11.2025
Examination in chief by Advocate for R-2:

I have filed my Affidavit in lieu of my Chief-examination. The contents of the said Affidavit are true to the best of my knowledge and have affixed my signatures after going through its contents.

The documents that are produced before the court are marked as Exhibits as follows:-

Ex.R-11 : Authorization letter

Ex.R-12 : Copy of policy

Cross examination by advocate for petitioner:

It is true to suggest that we appointed private investigator in this case. Witness voluntary states that to collect police documents, we appointed private investigator. We have recorded statement of driver of the offending vehicle. I have not produced the said statement before this court. We have not recorded the statements of eye witnesses cited in the charge sheet.

2. We have not challenged the charge sheet before any higher authority. We do not have any documents to substantiate about collusion of owner of offending vehicle and petitioner with police. It is true to suggest that based on police documents, I am deposing evidence before this court.

3. It is false to suggest that the police intimation was sent by North Bengaluru hospital to the police. I do not know it is a bounden duty of the hospital authority to note down the history of accident in their MLC ledger. It is false to suggest that though the MLC extract was not created, I am deposing falsehood to that effect. It is true

to suggest that I do not have personal knowledge whether the history of accident was mentioned in MLC register or not, while the patient admitting to the hospital.

4. It is false to suggest that E.xP-15 MLC note is a patient copy. I have not produced any documents to substantiate the contents of para-6 of my chief affidavit. It is true to suggest that accident occurred within grace period of expiry of driving license of driver of offending vehicle. It is true to suggest that as on date of the accident, the DL of driver of offending vehicle did not suspend, cancel or block from concerned RTO. It is false to suggest that in order to escape from our liability, I am deposing falsehood before this court by filing this false affidavit. It is false to suggest that accident occurred due to negligence on the part of driver offending vehicle.

**Cross examination by R1 is deferred.
(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).
R.O.I. & A.C.**

II Addl. Judge.

SCCH-13
κ

MVC No:882/2023
RW-4