

-17-

(Defendant counsel prays time for cross examination. Time granted).

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court as deposed by the witness.)

R. O. I. & A. C.,

**(PRASHANTHI.G)
IX Addl. C.C. & S.J., Bengaluru.**

Witness is present and duly sworn on 28.01.2026.

**Further examination in chief for the plaintiff : by Sri. PDP
counsel for plaintiff :**

I have produced the following documents and the same may be marked as exhibits on my behalf.

Documents	Exhibits
Certified copy of the sale deed dated 17.11.2021	Ex.P.27

Cross examination : by Sri. MSB counsel for defendant :

1. It is correct to suggest that the defendant has no information with regard to the e-mails sent by me. Witness says that it is the correspondence in between myself and the bank. I have informed the e-mails to the defendants also. When the question is asked when, who and whether this e-mail information was informed to the defendant witness says that after the sanction of the loan we have informed the same. However, it was in the end of 2021. It is

-18-

correct to suggest that the e-mails produced by me are of the year 2022 and not of the year 2021. It is not correct to suggest that Ex.P.23 is created for the purpose of the case. It is correct to suggest that I have not spent anything for the purpose of obtaining loan from the bank.

2. It is correct to suggest that Ex.P.24 is not connected with defendants. I am not aware to whom the original agreement was given and by whom. It is not correct to suggest that Ex.P.24 is created for the purpose of the case. It is not correct to suggest that for that reason I have not produced the copy of the complaint before the court. Witness says that it is along with his brother.

3. In order to show that I have sold the property for the purpose of giving the money to the defendant I have produced Ex.P.27. Under Ex.P.27 Kameshwar Pandey has obtained nearly Rs.85,00,000/-. It is not correct to suggest that Kameshwar Pandey has not obtained Rs.85,00,000/- under Ex.P.27. It is not correct to suggest that Ex.P.27 is not connected with this case. It is not correct to suggest that even though there is no such transaction in between plaintiff and defendants I am falsely deposing before the court.

Re-examination : Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court as deposed by the witness.)

R. O. I. & A. C.,

**(PRASHANTHI.G)
IX Addl. C.C. & S.J., Bengaluru.**