

Witness present and duly sworn on 29/10/2025.

Further Cross Examination by advocate for Claimant no.2.

6. I do not know that there is no signature of Khatedar Kempakadaraiah in Ex.P.1 – Sale deed dated 25-06-1973. I do not know that my mother filed an application for change of Khata as on 10-07-2007. It is false to suggest that as we were not in possession of the property, my mother has not filed any application for mutation of property on the basis of sale deed dated 25-06-1973 till 10-07-2007. It is false to suggest that in order to denied the right of claimant no.2, my mother colluding with then Panchayath President Revanna created the Sale deed 25-06-1973. I do not know that my mother colluding with said Panchayath President Revanna created many document to cheat many people. I do not know that claimant no.2 challenged the change of Khata made in MR No.62/2006-07 as per Ex.P..2 in RA No.176/2013-14. I do not know that the change of Khata as per Ex.P.2 had been set aside in RA No. 176/2013-14. I do not know that my mother challenged the order passed in RA No. 176/2013-14 in R.P. No. 182/2015-16 before the Deputy Commissioner, Bengaluru. I do not know that my mother colluded with the Deputy Commissioner got

dismissed the order passed in R.A. No. 176/2013-14. I do not know that even though my mother had no right over the property had executed the sale deed in respect of 17 guntas of land in favour of Smt. Yavalin Andros. I do not know that claimant no.2 challenged the order passed in R.A. no. 176/2013-14 in RSA No. 1426/2022 on the file of Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka . It is true that I have received the notice in RSA NO. 1426/2022. In the said case I have appeared through my advocate.

7. It is false to suggest that I am not the owner of acquired property. It is false to suggest that I was not at all possession of the acquired property. I do not know that the house of claimant no.2 exists in front of my house at Byalalu. I do not know what are the documents produced by the claimant no.2 in the case. I do not know the contention of claimant no.2 in this case. I do not know that the documents produced by the claimant no.2 disclose that Kempaiah is the son of Kempa Kadaraiah. It is false to suggest that as I am not the owner of acquired property, I am pleading ignorance to all the questions put to me. It is false to suggest that I am not entitled for the compensation amount deposited to the court in

this case. It is false to suggest that claimant no.2 is entitled for the entire compensation deposited in this case. It is false to suggest that in order to harass the claimant no.2, I have filed false claim statement and claiming false right over the property.

**Re-examination: Nil.**

**(Typed to my dictation in open court, as the deposition is proceeded with).**

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Padma Prasad)  
II Addl. City Civil & Sessions  
Judge, and Spl. Judge,  
Bangalore City.