

**IN THE COURT OF II ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, BANGALORE CITY.
(CCH-17)**

O.S. NO. 6462/2019

PLAINTIFF/S : Sri.Ramakrishna Alias Ramakrishnappa

-V/S-

DEFENDNATS : Sri. Ramappa and others

I S S U E S

1. Whether the plaintiff proves that he is owner of item No.1 & 2 of the Suit Schedule Properties?
2. Whether the plaintiff proves that the defendant No. 1 has created sham partition deed dated 23-01-2017 in favour of defendant No. 2 to 5?
3. Whether the plaintiff proves that the sale deed dated 13-05-2017 executed by defendant No. 6 based on unregistered GPA is null and void and is not binding on him?
4. Whether the plaintiff proves that he is in peaceful possession and enjoyment of Suit Schedule Properties?
5. Whether the plaintiff proves that the defendants had interfered with his peaceful possession and enjoyment of Suit Schedule Properties?
6. Whether the defendant No. 6 & 7 prove that defendant no.1 has been allotted 8 guntas out of 15 guntas in survey no.100/3 and another 8 guntas out of 15 guntas in survey No. 100/2 of Tavarekere Village?
7. Whether the defendant No. 6 & 7 prove that they have purchase site No. 4 & 7 in Survey No.100/3 of Tavarekere Village?

8. Whether the defendant No. 5 & 6 prove that they have put up construction to an extent of 23*40 feet and have left vacant space on the western side?
9. Whether the defendant No. 6 & 7 prove that the plaintiff has encroached site No.4 belonging to defendant No.6 on the western side to an extent of 300 sq.ft?
10. Whether the defendant No. 5 & 6 prove that the partition deed dated 18-05-1998 has been created by plaintiff and his junior mother by showing incorrect measurement and boundaries?
11. Whether the defendant No. 6 & 7 prove that there is no cause of action against them and so no relief can be granted against them?
12. Whether the court fee paid by the plaintiff is insufficient?
13. Whether the court fee paid by defendant No. 6 & 7 on counter claim is insufficient?
14. Whether the defendants prove that the suit schedule properties is not existing?
15. Whether the plaintiff is entitle for declaration as prayed for?
16. Whether the plaintiff is entitle for permanent injunction?
17. Whether the defendant No. 6 is entitle for declaration that he absolute owner of counter claim 'B' Schedule property?
18. Whether the defendant No. 6 is entitle for possession of 'B' schedule property?
19. Whether the defendant No. 6 is entitle for mandatory injunction as prayed for?
20. What order or decree?

Bengaluru,
Dated:02.01.2023.

(Sheila B.M.)
II Addl. City Civil &
Sessions Judge, Bengaluru.